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## Résumé


#### Abstract

Nous présentons ici le modèle lexical multilingue et relationnel du projet Prolex, pour le traitement automatique des noms propres, et son application à la traduction entre le français, le serbe et le bulgare. Ce modèle repose sur deux concepts principaux : le nom propre conceptuel, indépendant de la langue, qui représente un point de vue sur le référent; et le prolexème, sa projection sur une langue donnée, qui correspond à un ensemble de lemmes (nom, alias et certains dérivés). Des relations et une typologie complètent la description.
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#### Abstract

We present in this paper the multilingual and relational lexical model developed in the framework of the Prolex project, for Proper Name processing, and its application to translation between French, Serbian and Bulgarian. This model is based on two main concepts: the conceptual proper name, language independent, representing the point of view about the referent; and the prolexeme, language dependent, namely its projection onto a given language that is a set of lemmas (name, aliases and some derivatives). Relations and typology complete the description.
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## 1. Motivation

In this paper, we deal with some problems of the interrelation (translation, transliteration, transcription) of Proper Names between French and two Slavic languages, Serbian and Bulgarian. In French linguistic studies (as well as in Serbian and Bulgarian), the Proper Names are not usually described in details regarding both their inflexion and derivation properties. On the other hand, the correct translation of Proper Names is not a trivial issue and the example of Slavic languages and French is very rewarding in this domain. Just like common nouns, Slavic Proper Names undergo declension but their inflectional patterns are more complicated. Rich inflection, derivation and a specific word order (shown in Serbian Personal names) make processing of Proper Names in Slavic languages more difficult than for other languages. There are at least four points that illustrate the main difficulties in the correspondence between French and Slavic Proper Names.

1. First, there are transcription problems between the two alphabets, Latin and Cyrillic: The Cyrillic alphabet is used for Serbian and Bulgarian, the Latin alphabet, for Serbian and French.

For instance, the surname of the Japanese Prime Minister, Shinzo Abe ${ }^{1}$, is written Šinzo Abe and Шинзо Абе in Serbian and Шиндзо Абе in Bulgarian. The official Japanese transcription of this surname in Latin is Abe Shinzō, but the diacritic $\bar{o}$ does exist neither in French and English, nor in Serbian and Bulgarian and it is omitted.

The name of the Russian President, Vladimir Putin, is written Vladimir Putin and Владимир Путин in Serbian, Владимир Путин in Bulgarian and Vladimir Poutine in French (the French transcription is not the same as the English one!). Note that the official Russian surname is written with diacritics, Влади́мир Пу́тин, and often omitted.

[^0]2. Second, Bulgarian and Serbian are South Slavic languages with rich nominal inflection: nouns and adjectives are inflected for case, number and gender.

The attributes of the Proper Names lemma and their realized values define the paradigm in the respective languages, i.e. if a Proper Name is Human, it might have vocative form in Bulgarian, if a proper Name is Singularia tantum, it does not have plural forms, etc. Serbian and Bulgarian Proper Names are divided into grammatical subclasses with respect to their Number (Singularia tantum, Pluralia tantum), Gender, Definiteness (only for Bulgarian) and Animateness. The category Gender with Bulgarian Proper Names is a lexical-semantic category (an exception are Family names), which means that a given noun does not possess different word forms expressing masculine, feminine and neuter, although the noun lemmas can be grammatically classified into the three classes: Даниел (Daniel, masculine), София (Sofia, feminine) and Черно море (Black see, neuter). The Definiteness is also a lemma property regarding the Bulgarian Proper Names which are single words. In Serbian the difference between the grammatical and natural gender and number is marked. The Proper Names lemmas in Bulgarian, Serbian and French are characterized by the following categories (Figure 1).

|  | Serbian | Bulgarian | French |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender | masculine, feminine, neuter | masculine, feminine, neuter | masculine, feminine |
| Number | singular, plural | singularia tantum, pluralia tantum | singular, plural |
| Definiteness |  | definite, indefinite |  |
| Animateness | human, non human | human, non human |  |

Figure 1: Lemma attributes of Proper Names
Slavic languages as Serbian (with an exception of Bulgarian and Macedonian) are highly inflected languages regarding to the category of noun case. Thus not only the nominative form of a Proper Name, but also its genitive, accusative, etc. has to be taken into consideration. Seven cases (nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, vocative, instrumental and locative) are used in Serbian. Bulgarian Proper Names may also express the category of case but with the values of nominative and vocative only (some other restriction are shown concerning the vocative inflection of foreign names). Figure 2 below presents all instances of the name Vladimir in Serbian, Bulgarian and French

|  | Serbian |  | Bulgarian | French |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nominative | Vladimir | Владимир |  |  |
| Genitive | Vladimira | Владимира |  |  |
| Dative | Vladimiru | Владимиру | Владимир | Vladimir |
| Accusative | Vladimira | Владимира |  |  |
| Instrumental | Vladimirom | Владимиром |  |  |
| Locative | Vladimiru | Владимиру |  |  |
| Vocative | Vladimire | Владимире | Владимире |  |

Figure 2: The case instances of the name Vladimir
The category Gender is an inflectional category in Serbian: for instance papa (pope) is masculine, but its plural form pape is feminine. Besides two main categories for number, singular and plural, Serbian nouns also have the so called "paucal" form which represents a synthetic category of number and gender that is used with small numbers (two, three
four): jedan lepi Zec (one pretty Zec²), dva lepa Zeca (two pretty Zec), pet lepih Zečeva (five pretty Zec). Animateness is an inflectional category for masculine gender nouns in Serbian; the form of the accusative case is equal to the genitive case for the animate nouns and to the nominative case for the inanimate nouns. In Bulgarian, the category Gender operates at the word form level only for family names, the categories Number and Definiteness - only for Proper names that are Multiword units.
3. Third, Serbian and Bulgarian (but not all other Slavic languages) have a very productive derivative morphology, in particular for nouns with a Human feature, and then for Proper Names (Persons, but also Organizations, and Toponyms with the inhabitants names).

Slavic languages (such as Bulgarian and Serbian) show similar tendencies towards the derivation of Proper Names. A relational noun and a relational adjective from the surname Putin, both in French and Slavic languages can be created:

Relational noun: Poutinien in French, putinovac, masc. and putinovka, fem. and путиновац, masc. and путоновка, fem. in Serbian, ?nутинеи ${ }^{3}$ masc. and ?nутинка fem. in Bulgarian.

Relative adjective: poutinien in French, putinski and путински in Serbian, ?путинов, ?путински in Bulgarian.
However, there is a possessive adjective in Serbian and Bulgarian, but not in French: Putinov and Путинов in Serbian, Путинов in Bulgarian. Two more derivatives exist in Serbian, putinovčev, a possessive adjective built from the relational noun putinovac (something belongs to a supporter of Putin), or the relative adjective built from the same noun, putinovački, (in the way of a supporter of Putin). Of course, these nouns and adjectives have different instances for the categories of case, gender, number and definiteness (see Figure 1). Thus the relative adjective putinien has four instances in French, but sixty-three instances in Serbian and nine instances in Bulgarian.

In Bulgarian collective nouns can be built by Person names; for instance: Даниел даниеловии (all persons with the name Daniel) Дон Кихот донкихотовйи (all persons that seem to Don Quixote, masculine), Мария марии (all persons with the name Maria, feminine), Мими мимета (all persons with the name Mimi, neuter).

The word derivation is widely observed with toponyms, which can also express the Human feature by means of the metonymy (Russia refuses to accept American missile in Czech). Only two French derivatives from the name Russie (Russe and russe) can be built, comparing to at least ten possible forms in Serbian and seven in Bulgarian (see Figure 3), counting only the results of regular derivation [Vitas, Krstev 2005].

[^1]|  | Serbian | Bulgarian | French |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name | Rusija | Русија | Русия | Russie |
| Relative adjective (from the Name) | ruski | руски | руски | Russe |
| Possessive adjective (from the Name as human) | Rusijin | Русијин | руски |  |
| Relational noun masc. (Male inhabitant) | Rus | Рус | руснак |  |
| Diminutive from the relational noun | Rušče <br> Ruščić | Рушче <br> Рушчћ | русначе | Russe |
| Relational noun fem. (Female inhabitant) | Ruskinja | Рускиња | рускиня |  |
| Diminutive from the relational noun | Ruskinjica | Рускињица | рускинче |  |
| Collective noun | Rusi | Руси | руси |  |
| Possessive adjective (from an inhabitant) | Rusov <br> Ruskinjin | Русов <br> Рускињин | - |  |

Figure 3: The derivatives of the toponym Russia
A regular tendency both in Serbian and Bulgarian is that derivatives from Multiword units always are single graphical words with complex structure.
4. Fourth, Proper Names (but also a lot of common nouns) are often Multiword units that need specific morphological treatment.

For instance, the Multiword unit Vladimir Putin does not have the same morphological treatment in Serbian if the first name and the surname are permutated. While the two parts of the name in nominative are the same, Vladimir Putin and Putin Vladimir, the genitive is Vladimira Putina and Putin Vladimira and so on.

It should be pointed out that the Bulgarian and Serbian multiword proper names have their own inflective rules. The part of speech of the head word determines the clustering into grammatical classes; the significant grammatical categories inherent to the lemma of the head word (such as gender for nouns), the number and part of speech of the remaining constituents and the options for inserting some words (such as particles) all show the grouping of Multiword unit grammatical subclasses. For instance, the Bulgarian proper name Руска федерация (Russian Federation) is a noun phrase; the members of its paradigm are determined by the head feminine noun: Руска федерация (singular, indefinite), Руската федерация (singular, definite), ?Руски федерации (plural, indefinite), ?Руските федерации (plural, definite).

The inflection type is determined by the inflectional alternations of each member (the adjective and the noun), there are agreement dependencies between adjective and head noun and no other word interventions or word order changes are allowed ${ }^{5}$.

The Proper Names constitute a significant part of natural language texts. There are several reports claiming that the Proper Names estimate to about $10 \%$ in the newspaper articles [Coates-Stephens 1993]. The statistics over the Bulgarian National Radio corpus show that there are 32,669 Bulgarian Proper Names detected at the total amount of 325,788 words which represents $10.02 \%$. It can be expected that the proportion of the Proper Names will be also relatively high in some thematic domains like history, geography, politics, sport, etc. The similar statistics over the corpus containing

[^2]Bulgarian political texts show 199,204 Proper Names among 2,224,789 words which is equal to $8.95 \%$. These calculations were made by means of a tokenizer, thus the Multiword units (including Proper Names) are not taken into account. Another statistical data item shows that there are 12,636 Multiword literals out of 51,584 in Bulgarian wordnet ( $24.49 \%$ ) and 45,769 compound literals out of 203,147 existing ( $22.5 \%$ ) in Princeton wordnet. The proportion in other European wordnets is similar. Considering the fact that the Multiword units are also a significant part of the human lexis, we can conclude that the relative percentage of Proper Names is more than one tenth.

## 2. The Prolex project

In order to process Proper Names for NLP applications, the Prolex project was initiated in 1990s with the study of toponyms in French [Piton, Maurel, 2000]. This work has been pursued by development of a Serbian version. Finally, a relational multilingual dictionary of Proper Names, Prolexbase, in the form of a relational database, was designed and constructed [Krstev et al. 2005] [Tran, Maurel 2006].

This model is based on two main concepts: the pivot (that represents the conceptual proper name) at language independent level and the prolexeme (the projection of the pivot onto particular language), that is a set of lemmas that includes the name, but also its aliases and some of its derivatives.

The definition of these concepts is a representation of the variations of proper name. This variation may be conceptual (and, then, independent of language) or linked to a particular language by morphology or knowledge. These variations are very important for NLP, because the same proper name can be written in different instances, sometimes in different parts of speech, and also, it can be replaced by another proper name, linked by a semantic relation (an anaphora).

We use in our description of semantic relations the four diasystematic features of Coseriu [Coseriu 1998], defined at Figure 4.

| Diachronic | variety to time |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diatopic | variety to area |
| Diastratic | variety to sociocultural stratification |
| Diaphasic | variety to usage purpose |

Figure 4: The diasystem of Coseriu
When the variation is language independent, it defines a specific point of view about the referent, a concept that we call the conceptual proper name. Being language dependent, the variation may be:

1. Cultural (for instance, by a specific knowledge not shared by foreign countries).
2. Based on the written form (variation in orthography, abbreviated forms, acronyms, alphabet, etc.).
3. Obtained by a derivation with a particular and well-known sense, that always refers to the name (see [Gross, 1997]).

We call the set of these variations the prolexeme.
The language independent level with its three semantic relations and specific features (typology and existence) is presented below, followed by the language dependent level with the prolexeme and its property.

### 2.1. The language independent level

We simply use a pivot (a unique number) to represent a specific point of view about the referent, i.e. a conceptual proper name. This representation by pivot is common in many lexical databases (EuroWordnet [Vossen, 1998] and Balkanet [Tufiş et al., 2004], Papillon [Mangeot-Lerebours et al., 2003]...).

### 2.1.1. The relation of synonymy

The relation of synonymy, when it is language independent, concerns variation of a name from the diachronic, diastratic or diaphasic point of view:

1. Diachronic: a new name is sometimes implied by the history of the respective country. This is the case for many toponyms, due to the Communist period in Eastern Europe, for instance, Petersburg, Petrograd and Leningrad in Russia; or due to a change in the political system, for instance, Zaire and Democratic Republic of the Congo.
2. Diastratic: a name is well-known because of its fame. Some years ago, many people knew the religious name of the pope, John Paul II, but only a few knew his surname, Karol Jozef Wojtyla. Other examples are the pseudonym that artists frequently use. The cartoonist Georges Rémi, the creator of Tintin, is well-known with the pseudonym Hergé, but not with his surname.
3. Diaphasic: for instance, in an official register, a synonym of a country name includes the system of government, such as Republic of Bulgaria, versus Bulgaria; in emphatic register (news, tourism, etc.) the Town of Light may be used instead of Paris.

Sofia, the name of the Bulgarian capital is encountered 485 times in 312 files taken from the Bulgarian National Radio corpus. Although the corresponding anaphora expression (the Bulgarian capital) occurs only once, the two named entities express equal sense.

### 2.1.2. The relation of meronymy

The relation of meronymy is well-known in terminological contexts. It is natural to use it for names to describe inclusion of toponyms or events. Serbia and Bulgaria are in the Balkans, that are in Europe; the Normandy landings is a particular event of the Second World War.

This notion can be extended to other contexts, such as EADS $\subset$ Europe, St Matthew's Gospel $\subset$ New Testament, Novak Djokovic $\subset$ Serbia, etc. The meronymy relation is frequently used in economical registers, for instance the European firm from EADS, or in sport register, for instance the Serbian tennis man from Novak Djokovic.

### 2.1.3. The relation of accessibility

In explanatory dictionaries, Proper Names do not have definitions, in contrast to common nouns, but usually some relations towards different names, generally better known, are given. For instance, the name Aaron is situated with the name of Moses (Aaron is presented as the brother of Moses). If we search for Moses in the dictionary, we might not have the symmetrical information (Moses is the brother of Aaron), but rather Moses will be represented as the chief of Hebrews.

There are many possible relations and we do not have the aim to model them in our project. So we adopted a unique relation for all of them, that we call accessibility [Ariel 1990]. For instance, we will say that the name Aaron is accessible from the name Moses that is accessible from Hebrews' story, etc. However, we precise large registers as relative (Aaron and Moses), capital (Paris is the capital of France), politician (Angela Merkel is a German politician), founder (Henry Dunant has founded the Red Cross), follower (Peter is a disciple of Jesus), creator (The Magic Flute is an opera of Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart).

### 2.1.4. The existence

The pivot is specified by a feature of existence. Each pivot is linked to one and only one existence. This feature often is important information about translation.

The existence is just made up of three features:

1. Historical: Most of the proper names refer to historical period; we know for certain that they have existed.
2. Fictitious: Proper names are also used by the authors of novels, story, play, film, etc.
3. Religious: This third feature depends of the belief of people. If Jesus and Mohammed are historical proper names, it is not the role of linguist to say if the archangel Gabriel really exists or not...

Generally, the names linked to the features Fictitious or Religious are translated and not the names linked to the feature Historical ${ }^{6}$. For instance Snow White is translated in French (Blanche-Neige), Serbian (Snežana and Снежана) and Bulgarian (Снежанка).

### 2.1.5. The typology

To again help translation, we use a typology of proper names, inspired by different onomastic, economic or NLP typologies, compiled by Grass [Grass, 2000]. As is done for the existence, each pivot is linked to one and only one type. As is done for the accessibility relation, we have chosen to define only a few types (exactly thirty), obviously general; but we have completed this first level by a hyperonymy of supertypes.

Of course, the whole entries of the database have the feature Proper name that is the hyperonym of all other types or supertypes. This supertype is divided into the four classical linguistic features:

1. Human feature: The supertype Anthroponym is divided also into individual and collective anthroponyms. Individual anthroponyms concern persons (Celebrity, First Name, Patronymic), but also names of animal (Laika, the first dog of the space) or machine (HAL, the robot of 2001: A Space Odyssey, the film directed by Stanley Kubrick), that are linked to the type Pseudo-anthroponym. Collective anthroponyms concern Dynasty, Ethnonym or Group, a supertype that specifies the different organizations, economic (Firm), politic (Institution), religious or associative (Association), cultural (Ensemble) and international (Organization).
2. Location: The supertype Toponym concerns natural areas (Astronym, Geonym and Hydronym) as well as man-made ones (Building, City and Way) and also human areas (Territory, shared between three types: Country for an independent country, Region for a region in a country and Supra-national for a region spanning countries).
3. Inanimate: The supertype Ergonym concerns different human fabrications (except toponyms). We naturally have brands or products (Product), novels, sculptures, paintings, films, operas... (Work), but also intellectual constructions (Thought); we have added names of Vessel (the Pinta, one of the three ships used by Christopher Columbus in his first voyage) and some rare names of Object, often mythical (the Grail).
4. Event: the supertype Pragmonym concerns historical periods or events (History), but also cultural (Event), as the Football World Cup, or religious ones (Feast)... And, meteorological phenomena (Meteorology), as winds, or, sadly, disasters (Disaster), as Chernobyl disaster.

The complete typology is presented at Figure 5.

[^3]| Proper Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anthroponym |  |  | Toponym |  | Ergonym | Pragmonym |
| Individual | Collective |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Group |  | Territory |  |  |
| Celebrity <br> First Name <br> Patronymic <br> Pseudo-anthroponym | Dynasty <br> Ethnonym | Association <br> Ensemble <br> Firm <br> Institution <br> Organization | Astronym <br> Building <br> City <br> Geonym <br> Hydronym <br> Way | Country <br> Region <br> Supra-national | Object <br> Product <br> Thought <br> Vessel <br> Work | Disaster <br> Event <br> Feast <br> History <br> Meteorology |

Figure 5 : The Prolex typology
We have visited the Wikipedia site on June, 13, 2007, with the theme French revolution; Figure 6 presents some type examples in our three languages.

| Type | French | Serbian | Bulgarian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Celebrity | Louis XVI | Luj XVI, Луj XVI | Луи XVI |
|  | Maximilien Marie Isidore de Robespierre | Maksimilijan Robespjer, Максимилијан Робеспјер | Максимилиан Мари Изидор де Робеспиер |
| Association | Jacobins, pl. | Jakobinac, Јакобинац, sg. | Якобинци, pl. |
| City | Paris | Pariz, Париз | Париж |
|  | Versailles | Versaj, Bepcaj | Версай |
| Building | Tuileries | Tiljerije, Тиљерије | Тюйлери |
| Country | France | Francuske, Француска | Франция |
| Supranational | Europe | Evropa, Европа | Европа |
| Work | Déclaration des Droits de l'Homme et du Citoyen | Deklaracija o pravima čoveka i građanina, Декларација о правима човека и грађанина | Декларация "Правата на човека и гражданина" |
| History | Prise de la Bastille | Pad Bastilje, Пад Бастиље | Щурмуването на Бастилията |

Figure 6: Some type examples from Wikipedia

We can add to this strict hyperonymy a secondary one (Figure 7). For instance, a name of a territory or a city can be used as human, a building or a way are human fabrications, etc.

| Types | Secondary hyperonym |
| :--- | :--- |
| Territory | Collective anthroponym |
| City | Collective anthroponym <br> Ergonym |
| Building <br> Way | Ergonym |
| Event <br> Feast <br> History | Group Ergonym <br> Toponym <br> Vessel Collective anthroponym <br> Toponym |

Figure 7: The secondary hyperonymy

### 2.1.6. An ontology of proper names

Finally, Figure 8 presents the language independent level as ontology of proper names (Hyperonymyl is the links between types, Hyperonymy2, the type of a prolexeme, Hyperonymy3, the existence and Hyperonymy4, the secondary hyperonymy).


Figure 8: An ontology of proper names

### 2.2 The language dependent level

The prolexeme is the set of all lemmas semantically linked to a proper name in the observed language. For instance (see Figure 3), the pivot of Russia is 45161 and the prolexemes in French, Serbian and Bulgarian are:

French: \{Russie, Russe, russe...\}
Serbian: \{Rusija, ruski, Rusijin, Rus, Rušče, Ruskinja, Ruskinjica, Rusi, Rusov, Ruskinjin...\}
Bulgarian: \{Русия, руски, руски, руснак, русначе, рускиня, рускинче, руси...\}
To simplify our database, by misuse of language, we have not implemented the term French prolexeme by the pair (45161, fr), but by the name Russie. So, we have three tables of lemmas in Prolexbase:

1. Prolexemes: Arbitrarily, the longest form of names.
2. Alias: Other forms (short forms, abbreviations, acronyms, different orthographies, other transcriptions...), but also diatopic synonymies and some diastratic ones (that are too dependent of the language to have a pivot).
3. Derivatives: We only add to the database the derivatives that are semantically linked to the Proper Name (to pasteurize is a derivative of the name Pasteur, but it is a lexicalized word, with a specific definition, independent of the name Pasteur).

We note also at this level the classifying context (capital, king, coach...) which is often useful for translation.
We add to the database the relation of eponymy: antonomasia (this politician is a Cicero - i.e. is a good orator), terminological terms (Alzheimer's disease, Pythagoras' theorem...) or idiomatic phrases (I don't know him from Adam...). This relation, in the opposite to the other ones, informs that translation does not refer to proper name but to common noun, terminology or idiom.

At this level, each lemma is linked to an inflectional paradigm. A specific tool generates all its instances by use of finitestate transducers. This tool is based on the Unitex software [Paumier, 2003] and the Multiflex system. Figure 9 presents the example of United States of America.


Figure 9: Pivot, prolexeme, aliases, derivatives and instances from United States of America

### 2.3. The inter lingual links

The pivot represents a point of view about a referent. It is linked, in one language, with one, and only one, prolexeme. This prolexeme is linked to all the instances of the name, its aliases or derivatives. This description of languages allows inter lingual links that are not word to word links, but prolexeme to prolexeme links.

For instance, the car of a supporter of Vladimir Putine is translated in French by la voiture d'un Poutinien, in Serbian by putinovčev auto and in Bulgarian by the same phrase as in English (колата на поддръжника на Владимир Путин).

## 3. Corpus examples

We now present some results from the aligned version of Jules Verne's novel Le tour du monde en quatre-vingts jours. This novel has been translated (and recently sentence to sentence aligned) in many Slavic languages. The occurrences of the proper name Passepartout (Figure 10) and the toponym Angleterre (Figure 11) in Verne's novel are used in order to illustrate consequences and differences between the three languages.

|  | POS | French | Serbian | Bulgarian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passepartout | Name | 437 times <br> Passepartout <br> (one form) | 430 times <br> Paspartu 366 <br> Paspartua 37 <br> Paspartuom 3 <br> Paspartuu 20 | 438 times <br> Пacпapтy <br> (one form) |
|  |  |  | 9 times <br> Paspartuov 4 <br> Paspartuova 1 <br> Paspartuovih 1 <br> Paspartuovim 1 <br> Paspartuovo 1 <br> Paspartuovu 1 |  |

Figure 10: The name Passepartout in the Verne's novel

|  | POS | French | Serbian | Bulgarian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England | Name | 37 times Angleterre | 39 times <br> Engleska 9 <br> Engleske 8 <br> Engleskoj 15 <br> Englesku 7 | 37 times <br> Англия |
|  | Relational adjective | 59 times anglais 30 anglaise 23 anglaises 6 | 58 times engleska 6 engleske 8 engleski 15 engleskih 5 engleskim 5 englesko 2 engleskog 8 engleskoga 2 engleskoj 2 engleskom 4 englesku 1 | 62 times английски 14 английския 6 английският 1 английска 7 английската 9 английско 7 английското 5 английски 7 английските 6 |
|  | Relational noun | 19 times Anglais +1 in English Englishman | 21 times <br> Englez 6 <br> Engleza 10 <br> Englezi 3 <br> Englezu 2 | 20 times <br> англичанин 7 <br> англичанинът 1 <br> англичани 8 <br> англичаните 4 |
| Great Britain (meronymy) | Name | 2 times Grande Bretagne | 2 times Velike Britanije | 5 times <br> Великобритания |
|  | Relational adjective | 4 times britanique | 6 times britanska 2 britanskog 1 britansko 1 britanskoj 1 britanskom 1 | 2 times британски 1 британските 1 |
| United Kingdom (synonymy) | Name | 8 times <br> Royaume-Uni | 8 times <br> Ujedinjenog Kraljevstva 5 <br> Ujedinjenih Kraljevstva 1 <br> (plural) <br> Ujedinjeno Kraljevstvo 1 <br> Ujedinjenom Kraljevstvu 1 | 1 time Обединено кралство |

Figure 11: The name England in the Verne's novel
For instance, we find engleska prestonica from capitale de l'Angleterre (capital of England) and francuske i engleske pesmice from refrains de France et d'Angleterre (chorus from France and England). The name England is also a part of the multiword proper name Bank of England with Engleska banka from Banque d'Angleterre and English Indies with Engleska Indija from Inde anglaise (see [Maurel, 2004]). We find also terminology (royal British sauce).

## 4. Conclusion

We have shown in this paper that the Prolex model is well adapted to translation of proper names, particularly between French and Slavic languages, as Serbian or Bulgarian, due to the importance of morphology (different cases, but also derivatives, etc.). The existing relations between proper names have to be considered also and sometimes the translator use is to replace a name by another one.

The French database is available at the url: http://www.cnrtl.fr/lexiques/prolex/. This model can be used also for Information retrieval, particularly in Slavic language, as is done at the url: http://hlt.rgf.bg.ac.yu/WS4QE/Default.aspx.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To illustrate our motivation, we use names of the heads of the states and states from the G8 in June 2007.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Familly name Zec means rabbit.
    ${ }^{3}$ The question mark indicates the optional and understandable forms that are not in common use in Bulgarian.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ This form denotes rather a child who is a Russian.
    ${ }^{5}$ To inflect these Multiword units, we use the Multiflex system presented in another paper in the same number of this review (paper by Agata Savary, Cvetana Krstev and Duško Vitas).

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ In fact, it is not just as straightforward, because the translation of the names depends also on their type...

