# On the topological complexity and zero-divisor cup-length of real Grassmannians

Marko Radovanović\*

April 22, 2022

#### Abstract

Topological complexity naturally appears in the motion planning in robotics. In this paper we consider the problem of finding topological complexity of real Grassmann manifolds  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We use cohomology methods to give estimates on the zero-divisor cuplength of  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for various  $2 \leq k < n$ , which in turn give us lower bounds on topological complexity. Our results correct and improve several results from [9].

## 1 Introduction

For a path-connected space X we denote its topological complexity by TC(X). In [9] the author considered the problem of finding  $TC(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for various  $2 \leq k < n$  (in this paper,  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) denotes the real Grassmann manifold of k-dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Unfortunately, there is a problem with the proof of the main lemma of that paper (Lemma 4.4) and the consequential results on the topological complexity (Theorems 4.5, 4.8 and 4.12); see [10]. In this paper we reconsider this problem, and as an outcome correct and improve several results from [9]. As in [9], we use the *cohomology method* to obtain our results.

This paper closely follows and builds on the ideas presented in [9] (so, for background, motivation and all undefined notions, the reader is advised to consult [9]). Throughout the paper we will use, as much as possible, the notation from [9]. In particular, we will be working with the *unreduced* topological complexity, as defined by Farber in [5] (for example, by this definition the topological complexity of a contractible space is equal to 1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the cohomology method mentioned above and give an overview of the cohomology of real Grassmannians. In Section 3 we consider the case k=2. We obtain the exact value of the zero-divisor cup-length of  $G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (denoted by  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ) for  $s \geq 2$  and  $n \in \{2^s+1,2^s+2,2^s+3\}$ ; additionally, for  $s \geq 3$ ,  $2^s+4 \leq n \leq 2^{s+1}$  we prove a lower and upper bound for  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . These results show that the value of the zero-divisor cup-length given in [9, Theorem 4.5] is not correct; what is more interesting, our results improve lower bounds for topological complexity stated in the same theorem. Section 4 is devoted to the case k=3. Separately, we prove lower bounds for  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n))$  in the cases  $n=2^s+1$ ,  $n=2^s+2$  and  $2^s+3 \leq n \leq 2^{s+1}$  (for  $s \geq 4$ ). The first two results show that the corresponding results from [9, Theorem 4.8] are not correct, and improves the stated lower bound for topological complexity of  $G_3(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1})$  (for  $s \geq 5$ ). In Section 5 we give a general lower bound for  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n))$  (for  $k \geq 4$ ). For  $k \geq 9$  this result improves the bounds stated in [9, Theorem 4.10].

<sup>\*</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade, Serbia.

# 2 Background and notation

As mentioned in Introduction, to obtain our results we use the so called *cohomology method*, which we now (briefly) explain.

Let  $\Delta: X \to X \times X$  denote the diagonal map. Then the elements of

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta^*: H^*(X \times X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2))$$

are called zero-divisors. Further, the zero-divisor cup-length of X, denote by  $\operatorname{zcl}(X)$ , is defined to be the maximum number of elements from  $\operatorname{Ker}\Delta^*$  whose product is non-zero. In [5], Farber proved that  $\operatorname{zcl}(X)$  gives a lower bound for  $\operatorname{TC}(X)$ , that is  $\operatorname{TC}(X) \geqslant \operatorname{zcl}(X) + 1$ . Hence, a lower bound for  $\operatorname{zcl}(X)$  immediately gives a lower bound for  $\operatorname{TC}(X)$ . Note that for every  $w \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  the element

$$z(w) = w \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w \in H^*(X \times X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is in Ker $\Delta^*$  (since  $\Delta^*(z(w)) = w \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot w = 0$ ). Then, by [2, Lemma 5.2], Ker $\Delta^*$  is generated by these elements. So, if  $\operatorname{zcl}(X) = t$ , then there are classes  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $z(x_1)z(x_2)\cdots z(x_t) \neq 0$ .

To get the best possible results on  $TC(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n))$  using the cohomology method, one requires fine understanding of the cohomology algebra  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . There are several ways to describe this algebra; in this paper we will use the one due to Borel (see [1]):

$$H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k]/I_{k,n},$$

where  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k$  are the Stiefel-Whitey classes of the canonical k-dimensional vector bundle over  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $I_{k,n} = (\overline{w}_{n-k+1}, \overline{w}_{n-k+2}, \ldots, \overline{w}_n)$  is the ideal generated by dual classes.

Let us observe  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and the corresponding ideal  $\operatorname{Ker}\Delta^*$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,n}$  the ideal generated by the classes  $z(w_1), z(w_2), \ldots, z(w_k)$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,n} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\Delta^*$ , but we can prove more.

# Lemma 2.1 $\mathcal{Z}_{k,n} = \operatorname{Ker} \Delta^*$ .

PROOF — It is enough to prove that for every  $p \in H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , the class  $p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p$  is in  $\mathcal{Z}_{k,n}$ . Since p is a polynomial in  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k$ , it is enough to consider the case  $p = w_1^{a_1} \cdots w_k^{a_k}$ , where  $a_i \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

We prove by induction on  $\deg(p) = a_1 + \cdots + a_k$ , that  $p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p \in \mathcal{Z}_{k,n}$ . This is obvious when  $\deg(p) = 1$ . So, suppose that it is true for all q such that  $\deg(q) < \ell$ , and prove it for a given monomial  $p = w_1^{a_1} \cdots w_k^{a_k}$  such that  $\deg(p) = \ell > 1$ . Then  $a_i > 0$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ; further, let  $p = w_i q$ . So, we have

$$p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p = w_i q \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_i q = w_i \otimes 1 (q \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes q) + 1 \otimes q (w_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_i),$$

and hence the conclusion follows by induction.

So, by the previous lemma, if  $zcl(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)) = t$ , then it is easy to see that there are  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $z(w_1)^{a_1} z(w_2)^{a_2} \cdots z(w_k)^{a_k} \neq 0$ .

Although Borel's description of  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  appears simple enough, it turns out that performing concrete calculations in this algebra can be rather difficult. Hence, one usually needs to apply some additional methods and properties of  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . The following result gives an additive basis for this algebra (see, e.g. [7, 11]).

**Proposition 2.2** The set  $B_{k,n-k} = \{w_1^{a_1} \cdots w_k^{a_k} : 0 \leqslant a_1 + \cdots + a_k \leqslant n-k\}$  is an additive basis for  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

The height of a class  $c \in \widetilde{H}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , denoted by  $\operatorname{ht}(c)$ , is the largest  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c^m \neq 0$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , the height of  $w_1 \in H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is obtained by Stong in [12]: if  $2 \leq k \leq n - k$  and s is the unique positive integer such that  $2^s < n \leq 2^{s+1}$ , then

$$ht(w_1) = \begin{cases} 2^{s+1} - 2, & \text{if } k = 2 \text{ or } (k, n) = (3, 2^s + 1), \\ 2^{s+1} - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

In this paper we will often use Stong's method from [12] for calculating in  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (later this method was generalized by Korbaš and Lörinc to all flag manifolds, see [8]). In what follows we briefly explain this method.

Let Flag( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) denote the *(real) complete flag manifold*  $(n \geq 2)$ . Denote by  $e_i := w_1(\gamma_i)$  the first Stiefel-Whitney class of the canonical line bundle  $\gamma_i$  over Flag( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we have the map  $\pi : \operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , given by

$$\pi(S_1,\ldots,S_k,S_{k+1},\ldots,S_n)=(S_1\oplus\cdots\oplus S_k,S_{k+1}\oplus\cdots\oplus S_n).$$

The following result will be very useful for our calculations in  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (and  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ).

**Proposition 2.3** (1) The set  $B_n = \{e_1^{a_1}e_2^{a_2}\dots e_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}: 0 \leqslant a_i \leqslant n-i\}$  is an additive basis for  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

- (2)  $\operatorname{ht}(e_i) = n 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In particular  $e_i^n = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (3) A monomial  $e_1^{a_1}e_2^{a_2}\cdots e_n^{a_n}\in H^{\binom{n}{2}}(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n);\mathbb{Z}_2)$  is non-zero if and only if  $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)$  is a permutation of the n-tuple  $(n-1,n-2,\ldots,1,0)$ .
  - (4) If  $u \in H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and

$$v = e_1^{k-1} e_2^{k-2} \cdots e_{k-1} \cdot e_{k+1}^{n-k-1} e_{k+2}^{n-k-2} \cdots e_{n-1} \in H^*(\text{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

then  $\pi^*(u) \cdot v \in H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and  $u \neq 0$  if and only if  $\pi^*(u) \cdot v \neq 0$ .

(5) For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\pi^*(w_i)$  is the *i*-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k$ .

Heights of the classes  $z(w_1)$  and  $z(w_k)$  will be very useful in our calculations. In what follows we determine these values.

It turns out that if  $\operatorname{ht}(w)$  is known, then  $\operatorname{ht}(z(w))$  can easily be calculated. This is proven in Lemma 4.3 from [9]. Namely, one has: if  $w \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and t is the unique non-negative integer such that  $2^t \leq \operatorname{ht}(w) < 2^{t+1}$ , then

$$ht(z(w)) = 2^{t+1} - 1. (2.2)$$

We will apply this identity for  $X = G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , when  $2 \leq k \leq n - k$ . If  $2^s < n \leq 2^{s+1}$ , then (2.1) implies

$$ht(z(w_1)) = 2^{s+1} - 1. (2.3)$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.2 implies  $w_k^{n-k} \neq 0$ , so  $\operatorname{ht}(w_k) = n - k$  (by observing dimension we conclude that  $w_k^{n-k+1} = 0$ ). Hence, if t is the unique non-negative integer such that  $2^t \leq n - k < 2^{t+1}$ , then (2.2) implies

$$ht(z(w_k)) = 2^{t+1} - 1. (2.4)$$

The following lemma will be very useful in Section 3.

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $m, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , k < n, and  $d_1, \ldots, d_m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $d_1 + \cdots + d_m \ge 2k(n-k)$ . If  $x_i \in H^{d_i}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ , then

$$z(x_1)\cdots z(x_m)=0.$$

PROOF — Note that the product  $p = z(x_1) \cdots z(x_m)$  is the sum of certain classes of the form  $x \otimes y + y \otimes x$ , for some  $x, y \in H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Since p is in dimension at least  $2k(n-k) = 2\dim G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so is  $x \otimes y$ , and hence  $x, y \in H^{k(n-k)}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  or  $x \otimes y = y \otimes x = 0$ . There is only one non-zero class in  $H^{k(n-k)}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , namely  $w_k^{n-k}$  (by Proposition 2.2), and hence  $x \otimes y = y \otimes x = 0$  or  $x \otimes y = w_k^{n-k} \otimes w_k^{n-k} = y \otimes x$ . In both cases  $x \otimes y + y \otimes x = 0$ , which implies p = 0.

Also, we recall some results from [9] that will be used in our calculations.

**Lemma 2.5** a) If  $2^s < n \leqslant 2^{s+1}$ , then  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{n-2^s-1} \neq 0$  and  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{n-2^s} = 0$  in  $H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

b) If 
$$2^s + 3 \le n \le 2^{s+1}$$
 and  $t = n - 2^s$ , then  $w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s} w_3^{t-3} \ne 0$  in  $H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

Throughout the paper we use the same notation as in this section.

Finally, let us say a few words on Lemma 4.4 from [9] and our strategy that bypasses the application of this lemma. In Lemma 4.4 from [9] the author assumes that  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that  $u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_n^{k_n} \neq 0$ , and wants to prove that  $A = z(u_1)^{2^{r_1}-1} \cdots z(u_n)^{2^{r_n}-1} \neq 0$ , where  $r_i$  is the unique integer such that  $2^{r_i-1} \leqslant k_i < 2^{r_i}$  for  $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ . For this he notices that after expanding A one summand is  $u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_n^{k_n} \otimes u_1^{2^{r_1}-k_1-1} \cdots u_n^{2^{r_n}-k_n-1}$ , which is nonzero, and from this immediately concludes that  $A \neq 0$ . As we will see in the proofs of our results, the problem is that the set

$$S = \{(l_1, \dots, l_n) : 0 \leqslant l_i \leqslant 2^{r_i} - 1, u_1^{l_1} \cdots u_n^{l_n} = u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_n^{k_n}\}$$

can contain more than one element, and hence that the corresponding summands of A with the first coordinate equal to  $u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_n^{k_n}$  may cancel out. So, in our proofs we choose the n-tuple  $(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$  a bit more carefully to ensure that

$$\sum_{(l_1,\dots,l_n)\in S} u_1^{2^{r_1}-l_1-1}\cdots u_n^{2^{r_n}-l_n-1} \neq 0$$

and that this further leads to  $A \neq 0$  (note: in our applications the degree of  $z(u_i)$  in A will not always be  $2^{r_i} - 1$ , so we will have slightly different formulas than the one given above).

# 3 The zero-divisor cup-length of $G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let s be the unique integer such that  $2^s < n \le 2^{s+1}$ . In this section we consider  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . We note that Propositions 3.7, 3.9, 3.11 and 3.12, that we prove in this section, show that the corresponding results of [9, Theorem 4.5] are not correct. Fortunately, correct versions give better lower bounds for the topological complexity of  $G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We will compare our results with the following upper bound from [9] (this result is a consequence of a general result from [3, Theorem 1]).

**Proposition 3.1** If  $1 \leq k < n$ , then  $TC(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq 2k(n-k)$ . In fact, if  $k \neq 1$  and  $(k,n) \neq (2,2^d+1)$  for all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $TC(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq 2k(n-k)-1$ .

#### 3.1Preliminary lemmas

Let n be a positive integer and  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{t} \alpha_i \cdot 2^i$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \{0,1\}$  for  $0 \le i \le t$  and  $\alpha_t = 1$ , its representation in base 2. Then we write  $n := (\alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_0)_2$ .

As we use  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  coefficient the following special case of Lucas' theorem will be particularly useful to us: if  $n := (\alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_0)_2$  and  $m := (\beta_r, \dots, \beta_1, \beta_0)_2$ , then

$$\binom{n}{m} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
 if and only if  $t \geqslant r$  and  $\alpha_i \geqslant \beta_i$  for  $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ .

We will use the following two consequences of Lucas' theorem throughout the paper. Let  $w \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . By Lucas' theorem,  $\binom{2^m}{i}$  is even for  $1 \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$ , and so

$$z(w)^{2^m} = (w \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w)^{2^m} = w^{2^m} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w^{2^m}.$$

On the other hand, by Lucas' theorem  $\binom{2^m-1}{i}$  is odd for all  $0 \le i \le 2^m-1$ , and hence

$$z(w)^{2^{m}-1} = (w \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w)^{2^{m}-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m}-1} w^{i} \otimes w^{2^{m}-1-i}.$$

We will also need the following result.

**Lemma 3.2** Let n be a non-negative integer. Then:

- a)  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is odd if and only if n=0;
- b)  $\binom{2n}{n+1}$  is odd if and only if  $n=2^{t+1}-1$  for some  $t\in\mathbb{N}_0$ .

PROOF — Part a) immediately follows from Lucas' theorem. For part b) we note that  $C_n = \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n+1}$  is the *n*-th Catalan number. Then the result follows from part a) and the fact that  $C_n$  (for  $n \ge 1$ ) is odd if and only if  $n = 2^{t+1} - 1$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (see [4]).

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $0 \le m \le n-2$  and  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1-m} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then:

a) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1-m} \alpha_i e_1^{m+i} e_2^{n-1-i} = 0 \text{ in } H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2) \text{ iff } \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1-m};$$

b) for a polynomial  $p \in H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  in classes  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  one has

$$p \cdot e_3^{n-3} e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} = 0$$
 in  $H^*(\text{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ 

if and only if p = 0 in  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

a) By Proposition 2.1 from [6] we have  $e_2^{n-1} = e_1^{n-1} + e_1^{n-2}e_2 + \cdots + e_1e_2^{n-2}$  (we use this proposition for m = 1, k = n - 1 and i = n - 2). Since  $e_1^n = 0$  (by Proposition 2.3.(2)), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1-m} \alpha_i e_1^{m+i} e_2^{n-1-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1-m} (\alpha_i + \alpha_0) e_1^{m+i} e_2^{n-1-i}.$$

Since  $e_1^{m+1}e_2^{n-2}$ ,  $e_1^{m+2}e_2^{n-3}$ , ...,  $e_1^{n-1}e_2^m$  are in the additive basis  $B_n$  (from Proposition 2.3.(1)), the last sum is zero if and only if  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_0 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_0 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1-m} + \alpha_0 = 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1-m}$ .

b) As in part a) we use the identities  $e_2^{n-1} = e_1^{n-1} + e_1^{n-2}e_2 + \dots + e_1e_2^{n-2}$  and  $e_1^n = e_2^n = 0$  to express p in the form  $\sum \alpha_{i,j}e_1^ie_2^j$ , where  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $0 \le i \le n-1$  and  $0 \le j \le n-2$ . Then  $\sum \alpha_{i,j}e_1^ie_2^je_3^{n-3}e_4^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$  (=  $pe_3^{n-3}e_4^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$ ) is a sum of the elements from the basis  $B_n$  from Proposition 2.3.(1); so this sum is zero if and only if  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  for all i,j, i.e. if and only if p = 0 (since p is also represented in the basis  $B_n$ ).

**Remark 3.4** We will use the following consequence of part a) of this lemma. Let  $p = \sum_{i=0}^{b-a} \alpha_i e_1^{a+i} e_2^{b-i} \in H^{a+b}(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  for some  $0 \leqslant a \leqslant n-2$ ,  $a \leqslant b \leqslant n-1$ . If there exist  $0 \leqslant i' \neq i'' \leqslant b-a$  such that  $\alpha_{i'} = 0$  and  $\alpha_{i''} = 1$ , then  $p \neq 0$ .

Further, if  $q \in H^c(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , where  $c \leq 2n-3$ , is written as a sum of some monomials of the form  $e_1^i e_2^j$ , then after removing all summands with  $i \geq n$  or  $j \geq n$  (since they are 0 by Proposition 2.3.(2)), we get that q is written in the same way as p above.

**Lemma 3.5** If  $2^s < n \le 2^{s+1}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are such that a + 2b = 2(n-2), then  $w_1^a w_2^b \ne 0$  in  $H^{2n-4}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  if and only if

$$(a,b) = (2^{l+1} - 2, n - 2^l - 1)$$
 for some  $0 \le l \le s$ .

PROOF — By Proposition 2.3.(4),  $w_1^a w_2^b \neq 0$  in  $H^{2n-4}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  if and only if

$$\pi^*(w_1^a w_2^b) e_1 e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} = (e_1 + e_2)^a (e_1 e_2)^b e_1 e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} \neq 0$$

in  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . After expanding we have

$$(e_1 + e_2)^a (e_1 e_2)^b e_1 e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} = e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^a {a \choose i} e_1^{i+1+b} e_2^{a-i+b}.$$

Note that by Proposition 2.3.(3) the only non-zero monomials in this sum are the ones for i that satisfies  $(i+1+b,a-i+b) \in \{(n-1,n-2),(n-2,n-1)\}$  and  $\binom{a}{i}$  is odd, i.e.  $i \in \{n-2-b,n-3-b\}$  and  $\binom{a}{i}$  is odd.

If i = n - 2 - b, then  $\binom{a}{i} = \binom{2(n-2-b)}{n-2-b} = \binom{2m}{m}$  (here 2m = 2(n-2-b) = a). By Lemma 3.2 this number is odd only if m = 0, i.e. (a,b) = (0,n-2).

Let us now consider the case i=n-3-b. Then  $\binom{a}{i}=\binom{2(n-2-b)}{n-3-b}=\binom{2m}{m-1}=\binom{2m}{m+1}$  (again 2m=2(n-2-b)=a). By Lemma 3.2 this number is odd if and only if  $m=2^l-1$  for some  $l \ge 1$ . Then  $a=2^{l+1}-2$  and  $b=n-2^l-1 \ge 0$ , which completes our proof.

**Remark 3.6** If  $w_1^a w_2^b \neq 0$  and a + 2b = 2(n-2), then, by Proposition 2.2,  $w_1^a w_2^b = w_2^{n-2}$  (since  $w_2^{n-2}$  is the only non-zero class in  $H^{2(n-2)}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ).

#### 3.2 Some exact values

In this section we calculate  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for  $s \ge 2$  and  $n \in \{2^s + 1, 2^s + 2, 2^s + 3\}$ .

In the proofs of the main result of this section we will use the following observation. Let  $n \ge 4$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1 we conclude: if  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = t$ , then there are  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $z(w_1)^a z(w_2)^b z(x_1) \cdots z(x_c) \ne 0$ , where a + b + c = t and  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t$  are some classes of  $H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  each in dimension at least 3.

**Proposition 3.7** For  $s \ge 2$  and  $n = 2^s + 1$  one has

$$zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 4$$
 and  $TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 3$ .

PROOF — First, we prove that  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s-3} \neq 0$ . After expanding, we consider all summands of the form  $w_2^{n-2} \otimes x$ , for some  $x \in H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . By Lemma 3.5 each such summand is of the form  $w_1^{2^{l+1}-2}w_2^{2^s-2^l} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^l-3}$  (for  $l \geq 2$ ) with coefficient  $\binom{2^{s+1}-1}{2^{l+1}-2}\binom{2^s-3}{2^{s-2^l}}$ . By Lucas' theorem each of these binomial coefficients is odd, so  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s-3}$  contains  $w_2^{n-2} \otimes \sum_{l=2}^s w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^l-3}$ . Since  $w_2^{n-2}$  is the

only non-zero class in  $H^{2(n-2)}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (by Proposition 2.2), it is enough to prove  $\sum_{l=2}^{s} w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1} w_2^{2^l-3} \neq 0 \text{ (in } H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1}); \mathbb{Z}_2)).$ 

Note that by Lemma 2.5,  $w_1^{2^s}w_2 = 0$ , and so  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^l-3} = 0$  for  $2 \le l \le s-1$ . Hence, it is enough to prove that  $w_1w_2^{2^s-3} = w_1w_2^{n-4} \ne 0$ , which follows from the fact that  $w_1w_2^{n-4}$  is in the additive basis  $B_{2,n-2}$  (Proposition 2.2). So,  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1})) \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 4$ .

Let us now prove that  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1})) \leq 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 4$ . Suppose that this is not the case and let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_c \in H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be some classes each in dimension at least 3, such that  $a+b+c \geq 2^{s+1}+2^s-3$  and  $z(w_1)^az(w_2)^bz(x_1)z(x_2)\cdots z(x_c) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $a+2b+3c \leq 4(2^s-1)-1=2^{s+2}-5$ , and hence  $b+2c \leq 2^s-2$ . Further, since  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}}=0$  (by (2.3)), we have  $a \leq 2^{s+1}-1$  and hence  $b+c=(a+b+c)-a \geq 2^s-2$ . This implies c=0 and  $b=2^s-2$ . Finally,  $a+b+c \geq 2^{s+1}+2^s-3$  and  $a \leq 2^{s+1}-1$  imply  $a=2^{s+1}-1$ .

So, it is enough to prove  $A=z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s-2}=0$ . Suppose that this is not the case. Note that the dimension of A is  $2^{s+1}-1+2(2^s-2)=4(n-2)-1$ , so every summand of A is of the form  $x'\otimes x''$  where one of the classes x' and x'' has dimension 2(n-2) and the other 2(n-2)-1. Note that, by Proposition 2.2, the only class in  $H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n);\mathbb{Z}_2)$  of dimension 2(n-2) (resp. 2(n-2)-1) is  $w_2^{n-2}$  (resp.  $w_1w_2^{n-3}$ ). By symmetry, this and  $A\neq 0$  implies  $A=w_2^{n-2}\otimes w_1w_2^{n-3}+w_1w_2^{n-3}\otimes w_2^{n-2}$ . Now, we proceed as in the first part of the proof to prove that the coefficient of  $w_2^{n-2}\otimes w_1w_2^{n-3}$  in A is zero. By Lemma 3.5 each such summand in  $A=z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s-2}$  is of the form  $w_1^{2^{l+1}-2}w_2^{2^s-2^l}\otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^l-2}$  (for some  $s\geqslant l\geqslant 1$ ) with coefficient  $\binom{2^{s+1}-1}{2^{l+1}-2}\binom{2^{s}-2}{2^s-2^l}$ . By Lucas' theorem this coefficient is 1, so it

is enough to prove 
$$\sum_{l=1}^{s} w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1} w_2^{2^l-2} = 0.$$

Again, by Lemma 2.5,  $w_1^{2^s}w_2=0$ , so  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^l-2}=0$  for  $2\leqslant l\leqslant s-1$ . Hence, the previous sum is equal to  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}+w_1w_2^{2^s-2}$ . By (2.1),  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}\neq 0$ , so  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}=w_1w_2^{n-3}=w_1w_2^{2^s-2}$ , and hence A=0.

**Remark 3.8** By Proposition 3.1,  $TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1})) \leq 2^{s+2} - 4$ , so there is a gap of  $2^s - 1$  between our lower bound and this bound. For example,  $9 \leq TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^5)) \leq 12$ .

**Proposition 3.9** For  $s \ge 1$  and  $n = 2^s + 2$  one has

$$zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 2$$
 and  $TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 1$ .

PROOF — First, we prove that  $A = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-2}z(w_2)^{2^s} \neq 0$ . Note that  $w_2^{2^s} = w_2^{n-2} \neq 0$  (by Proposition 2.2) and  $x \cdot w_2^{2^s} = 0$  for every  $x \in \widetilde{H}^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Hence

$$z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-2}z(w_2)^{2^s} = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-2}(w_2^{2^s} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_2^{2^s}) = w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2} + w_1^{2^{s+1}-2} \otimes w_2^{2^s}.$$

Since  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2} \neq 0$  (by (2.1)) and  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2} \neq w_2^{2^s}$ , we conclude that  $A \neq 0$ . So,  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 2$ .

Let us now prove that  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+2})) \leq 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 2$ . Suppose that this is not the case and let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_c \in H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be some classes each in dimension at least 3, such that  $a+b+c \geq 2^{s+1}+2^s-1$  and  $B=z(w_1)^az(w_2)^bz(x_1)z(x_2)\cdots z(x_c) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $a+2b+3c \leq 4 \cdot 2^s-1=2^{s+2}-1$ , and hence  $b+2c \leq 2^s$ . Also, since  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}}=0$  (by (2.3)), we have  $a \leq 2^{s+1}-1$ , and hence  $b+c=(a+b+c)-a \geq 2^s$ , which implies  $b=2^s$  and c=0. Finally,  $a+b+c \geq 2^{s+1}+2^s-1$  now implies  $a=2^{s+1}-1$ .

and c = 0. Finally,  $a + b + c \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s - 1$  now implies  $a = 2^{s+1} - 1$ . So,  $B = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s}$ . Since  $x \cdot w_2^{2^s} = 0$  for every  $x \in \widetilde{H}^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-1} = 0$  (by (2.3)), we have

$$B = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}(w_2^{2^s} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_2^{2^s}) = w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-1} + w_1^{2^{s+1}-1} \otimes w_2^{2^s} = 0,$$

a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.10** By Proposition 3.1,  $TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+2})) \leq 2^{s+2}-1$ , so there is a gap of  $2^s$  between our lower bound and this bound. For example,  $5 \leq TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^4)) \leq 7$ .

**Proposition 3.11** For  $s \ge 2$  and  $n = 2^s + 3$  one has

$$zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 2^{s+1} + 2^s$$
 and  $TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 1$ .

PROOF — First, we prove that  $A = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s+1} \neq 0$ . Note that  $w_2^{2^s+1} = w_2^{n-2} \neq 0$  (by Proposition 2.2), but  $x \cdot w_2^{2^s+1} = 0$  for every  $x \in \widetilde{H}^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $w_1^k w_2^{2^s} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 3$  (by observing dimension). Additionally, by Remark 3.6,  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2^2 = w_2^{n-2} = w_2^{2^s+1} \neq 0$  and hence  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}w_2 \neq 0$ . Now, since  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-1} = 0$  (by (2.1)), and  $w_1^3 w_2^{2^s} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} A &= z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}(w_2^{2^s} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_2^{2^s})(w_2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_2) \\ &= z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}(w_2^{2^s+1} \otimes 1 + w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_2 + w_2 \otimes w_2^{2^s} + 1 \otimes w_2^{2^s+1}) \\ &= w_1 w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2} w_2 + w_1^2 w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-3} w_2 + w_1^{2^{s+1}-3} w_2 \otimes w_1^2 w_2^{2^s} + w_1^{2^{s+1}-2} w_2 \otimes w_1 w_2^{2^s}. \end{split}$$

Since  $w_1w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2 \neq 0$  ( $w_1w_2^{2^s} \in B_{2,n-2}$  and  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2^2 = w_2^{n-2} \neq 0$ ) and  $w_1w_2^{2^s}$  is distinct from  $w_1^2w_2^{2^s}, w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2, w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}w_2$  (they are in dimensions 2(n-2), 2(n-2) - 2, 2(n-2) - 3, while  $w_1w_2^{2^s}$  is in dimension 2(n-2) - 1), we conclude that  $A \neq 0$ . So,  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s$ .

Let us now prove that  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+3})) \leq 2^{s+1} + 2^s$ . Suppose that this is not the case and let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_c \in H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2^s+1}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be some classes each in dimension at least 3, such that  $a + b + c \geq 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 1$  and  $B = z(w_1)^a z(w_2)^b z(x_1) z(x_2) \cdots z(x_c) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $a + 2b + 3c \leq 4(2^s + 1) - 1 = 2^{s+2} + 3$ , and hence  $b + 2c \leq 2^s + 2$ . Further, since  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}} = 0$  (by (2.3)), we have  $a \leq 2^{s+1} - 1$  and hence  $b + c = (a + b + c) - a \geq 2^s + 2$ . This implies c = 0 and  $b = 2^s + 2$ . Finally,  $a + b + c \geq 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 1$  and  $a \leq 2^{s+1} - 1$  imply  $a = 2^{s+1} - 1$ .

So, 
$$B = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s+2}$$
. Since  $w_2^{2^s+2} = 0$  and  $w_1^3w_2^{2^s} = 0$ :

$$B = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2^{2^s})z(w_2^2)$$

$$= z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}(w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_2^2 + w_2^2 \otimes w_2^{2^s})$$

$$= w_1w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2^2 + w_1^2w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}w_2^2 + w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}w_2^2 \otimes w_1^2w_2^{2^s} + w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2^2 \otimes w_1w_2^{2^s}.$$

As mentioned above  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}w_2^2 = w_2^{n-2} = w_2^{2^s+1} \neq 0$ ; also, this implies  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}w_2^2 \neq 0$  and hence  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-3}w_2^2 = w_1w_2^{2^s}$  (by Proposition 2.2,  $w_1w_2^{2^s}$  is the only class in dimension 2(n-2)-1). Let us prove that  $w_1^2w_2^{2^s} = w_2^{n-2} = w_2^{2^s+1} \neq 0$ . By Proposition 2.3.(4), this is equivalent with

$$p = \pi^*(w_1^2 w_2^{2^s}) \cdot e_1 \cdot e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} = (e_1 + e_2)^2 (e_1 e_2)^{2^s} e_1 \cdot e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} \neq 0.$$

This follows from

$$p = e_1^{2^s+3} e_2^{2^s} e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} + e_1^{2^s+1} e_2^{2^s+2} e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} = e_1^{n-2} e_2^{n-1} e_3^{n-3} \cdots e_{n-1} \neq 0$$

(by Proposition 2.3.(2)). So,

$$B = w_1 w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_2^{2^s+1} + w_2^{2^s+1} \otimes w_1 w_2^{2^s} + w_1 w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_2^{2^s+1} + w_2^{2^s+1} \otimes w_1 w_2^{2^s} = 0,$$

a contradiction.

## 3.3 General bounds for $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$

Let  $2^s + 4 \le n \le 2^{s+1}$  and  $t = n - 2^s$ . Also, we assume  $s \ge 3$  (i.e.  $n \ne 8$ ). Further, let r be the unique integer such that  $2^{r-1} < t \le 2^r$ . Since  $t \ge 4$ , we have  $r \ge 2$ . Let j be the smallest positive integer such that the digit on position j in the binary representation of t - 2 is equal to 1 (j is well-defined since  $t - 2 \ge 2$ ); in other words, t - 2 has the binary representation of the following form

$$t-2=2^m+\alpha_{m-1}2^{m-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{j+1}2^{j+1}+2^j+\alpha_0$$

for some  $\alpha_0, \alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_{j+2}, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Since  $2^m \leq t - 2 \leq 2^r - 2 \leq 2^s - 2$ , we additionally have  $1 \leq j \leq m < r \leq s$ .

**Proposition 3.12** If n, s, t, r and j are as above, then

$$2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^r - \varepsilon - 2 \leqslant \operatorname{zcl}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^r - 2$$

and 
$$TC(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^r - \varepsilon - 1$$
, where  $\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 2^j, & \text{if } t \text{ is even} \\ 2^j + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

PROOF — First, we prove that  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^r - 2$ . Suppose that this is not the case and let  $a, b \ge 0$  be such that  $A = z(w_1)^a z(w_2)^b \ne 0$  and  $a + b \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^r - 1$ . Since  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}} = 0$  and  $z(w_2)^{2^{s+1}} = 0$  (by (2.3) and (2.4)), we have  $a, b \leq 2^{s+1} - 1$  and hence  $a, b \geqslant 2^s + 2^r$ . Then

$$A = z(w_1)^{a-2^s} z(w_1^{2^s}) z(w_2)^{b-2^s-2^r} z(w_2^{2^s}) z(w_2^{2^r})$$
  
=  $z(w_1)^{a-2^s} z(w_2)^{b-2^s-2^r} (w_1^{2^s} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_1^{2^s}) (w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_2^{2^r} + w_2^{2^r} \otimes w_2^{2^s})$ 

(the last equality holds since  $2^s + 2^r \geqslant 2^s + t = n$  and  $w_2^n = 0$ ). But  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^t = 0$  (by Lemma 2.5) and  $t \leqslant 2^r \leqslant 2^s$ , so  $(w_1^{2^s} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w_1^{2^s})(w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_2^{2^r} + w_2^{2^r} \otimes w_2^{2^s}) = 0$ , a contradiction. Let us now prove that  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^s+2^r-\varepsilon-1} \neq 0$ , which would imply  $zcl(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1}+2^s+2^r-\varepsilon-2$ . After expanding, we consider all summands of the form  $w_2^{n-2} \otimes x$ , for some  $x \in H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . By Lemma 3.5 each such summand is of the form  $w_1^{2^{l+1}-2}w_2^{2^{s+t}-2^{l-1}} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^r+2^l-\varepsilon-t}$ ,  $0 \leqslant l \leqslant s$ , with coefficient  $\alpha_l = \binom{2^{s+1}-1}{2^{l+1}-2}\binom{2^{s+2^r-\varepsilon-1}}{2^{s+t-2^l-1}} = \binom{2^{s+2^r-\varepsilon-1}}{2^{s+t-2^l-1}}$ . (Note: if  $2^r + 2^l - \varepsilon - t < 0$ , then  $2^s + 2^r - \varepsilon - 1 < 2^s + t - 2^l - 1$  and hence  $\alpha_l = 0$ , so there is no need to discard summands  $\alpha_l w_1^{2^{l+1}-2}w_2^{2^{s+t-2^l-1}} \otimes w_1^{2^{s+1}-2^{l+1}+1}w_2^{2^r+2^l-\varepsilon-t}$  when  $2^r + 2^l - \varepsilon - t < 0$ .) Since  $w_2^{n-2}$  is the only non-zero class in  $H^{2(n-2)}(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (by Proposition 2.2), it is enough to prove Proposition 2.2), it is enough to prove

$$A = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l w_1^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1} + 1} w_2^{2^r + 2^l - \varepsilon - t} \neq 0 \quad \text{in } H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Let us first consider the case when t is even. Then  $\varepsilon = 2^{j}$ . Note that  $2^{s} + 2^{r} - 2^{j} - 1 =$  $2^{s} + 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2} + \cdots + 2^{j+1} + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-2} + \cdots + 1$  (j < r). So, by Lucas' theorem,  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_s$  are even (since both  $2^s + t - 2$  and t - 1 have digit 1 on the j-th position in the binary representation), while  $\alpha_i$  is odd (since  $2^s + t - 1 - 2^j$  has digit 0 on the j-th position in the binary representation).

Let us denote  $\tau = 2^r - 2^j - t$ . Note that  $t - 2 + 2^j \leqslant 2^r$ , i.e.  $\tau \geqslant -2$ . By Proposition  $2.3.(4), A \neq 0$  if and only if

$$\sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l (e_1 + e_2)^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1} + 1} (e_1 e_2)^{2^l + \tau} \cdot e_1 \cdot e_3^{n-3} e_4^{n-4} \dots e_{n-1} \neq 0,$$

and, by part b) of Lemma 3.3, if and only if

$$p_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l (e_1 + e_2)^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1} + 1} (e_1 e_2)^{2^l + \tau} \cdot e_1 \neq 0.$$

To prove that  $p_1 \neq 0$  we will use Remark 3.4, i.e. we write  $p_1$  as in Remark 3.4 and find suitable indices i' and i'' (from that remark). We denote

$$q_{1} = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_{l} (e_{1} + e_{2})^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1}} (e_{1}e_{2})^{2^{l} + \tau} = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_{l} (e_{1}^{2^{l+1}} + e_{2}^{2^{l+1}})^{2^{s-l} - 1} (e_{1}e_{2})^{2^{l} + \tau}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_{l} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s-l} - 1} e_{1}^{i \cdot 2^{l+1} + 2^{l} + \tau} e_{2}^{(2^{s-l} - 1 - i) \cdot 2^{l+1} + 2^{l} + \tau}.$$

Let us observe a monomial  $e_1^a e_2^b$  that appears in the inner sum for l. Then  $a+b=2^{s+1}+2\tau$  and  $b-a=(2i+1-2^{s-l})2^{l+1}$ , i.e.  $2^{l+1}\parallel a-b$  for  $s\neq l$  (that is  $2^{l+1}\parallel a-b$  and  $2^{l+2}\nmid a-b$ ) and a=b for s=l; so,  $e_1^a e_2^b$  appears only once in  $q_1$  and its coefficient is  $\alpha_l$ . Now, since  $\alpha_s$  is even this implies that the coefficient of  $(e_1e_2)^{2^s+\tau}$  in  $q_1$  is 0, and since  $\alpha_0$  is even that the coefficients of  $e_1^{2^s+\tau-1}e_2^{2^s+\tau+1}$  and  $e_1^{2^s+\tau+2^j-1}e_2^{2^s+\tau-2^j+1}$  in  $q_1$  are 0. On the other hand, since  $\alpha_j$  is odd the coefficient of  $e_1^{2^s+\tau+2^j}e_2^{2^s+\tau-2^j}$  in  $q_1$  is 1.

Now, we expand  $p_1=(e_1^2+e_1e_2)q_1$ . Note that the degree of each monomial in  $p_1$  is  $2^{s+1}+2\tau+2=2^{s+1}+2^{r+1}-2t-2^{j+1}+2\leqslant 2^{s+1}+4(t-1)-2t-2=2n-6$ , and hence, after removing all monomials of the form  $e_1^ae_2^b$  when  $a\geqslant n$  or  $b\geqslant n$ , we get  $p_1$  written as in Remark 3.4. Let us observe a monomial  $e_1^ae_2^b$  in  $p_1$ . By the previous identity, its coefficient is the sum of coefficients of  $e_1^{a-2}e_2^b$  and  $e_1^{a-1}e_2^{b-1}$  in  $q_1$ . So, the coefficient of  $(e_1e_2)^{2^s+\tau+1}$  is 0, while the coefficient of  $e_1^{2^s+\tau+2^j+1}e_2^{2^s+\tau-2^j+1}$  is 1. Since  $2^s+\tau+2^j+1=2^s+2^r-t+1\leqslant 2^s+t-1=n-1$ , the degrees of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  in these monomials are less than n, so we can apply Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4 to conclude  $p_1\neq 0$ .

Finally, we consider the case when t is odd. Then  $\varepsilon = 2^j + 1$ . Note that  $2^s + 2^r - 2^j - 2 = 2^s + 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2} + \dots + 2^{j+1} + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-2} + \dots + 2$ , while  $t - 2 = 2^{j+1}t' + 2^j + 1 < 2^r \le 2^s$  for some  $t' \ge 0$ . So, by Lucas' theorem, we have that  $\alpha_0 = \binom{2^s + 2^r - 2^j - 2}{2^s + 2^j + 1}$  and  $\alpha_1 = \binom{2^s + 2^r - 2^j - 2}{2^s + 2^j + 1}$  are even, while

$$\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2^s + 2^r - 2^j - 2 \\ 2^s + t - 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^s + 2^{r-1} + \dots + 2^{j+1} + 2^{j-1} + \dots + 2 \\ 2^s + 2^{j+1}t' + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-2} + \dots + 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is odd.

Let us denote  $\theta = 2^r - 2^j - t - 1$ . Note that  $2^j + t - 2 \le 2^r + 1$ , i.e.  $\theta \ge -4$ . By Proposition 2.3.(4),  $A \ne 0$  if and only if

$$\sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l (e_1 + e_2)^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1} + 1} (e_1 e_2)^{2^l + \theta} \cdot e_1 \cdot e_3^{n-3} e_4^{n-4} \dots e_{n-1} \neq 0,$$

and, by Lemma 3.3.b), if and only if  $p_2 = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l (e_1 + e_2)^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1} + 1} (e_1 e_2)^{2^l + \theta} e_1$  is non-zero. Let us denote

$$q_2 = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l (e_1 + e_2)^{2^{s+1} - 2^{l+1}} (e_1 e_2)^{2^l + \theta} = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \alpha_l (e_1^{2^{l+1}} + e_2^{2^{l+1}})^{2^{s-l} - 1} (e_1 e_2)^{2^l + \theta}.$$

Now, as in the previous part of the proof we conclude: the coefficients of  $e_1^{2^s+\theta-1}e_2^{2^s+\theta+1}$ ,  $e_1^{2^s+\theta-2}e_2^{2^s+\theta+2}$  and  $e_1^{2^s+\theta-3}e_2^{2^s+\theta+3}$  in  $q_2$  are 0 (since  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are even); the coefficient of  $e_1^{2^s+\theta-4}e_2^{2^s+\theta+4}$  in  $q_2$  is 1 (since  $\alpha_2$  is odd). So, in the polynomial  $p_2=(e_1^2+e_1e_2)q_2$  the coefficient of  $e_1^{2^s+\theta}e_2^{2^s+\theta+2}$  is 0, while the coefficient of  $e_1^{2^s+\theta-2}e_2^{2^s+\theta+4}$  is 1. Since the total degree of each monomial of  $p_2$  is  $2^{s+1}+2\theta+2=2^{s+1}+2^{r+1}-2^{j+1}-2t+1 \leqslant 2^{s+1}+4(t-1)-2t-3=2n-7$  and  $2^s+\theta+4=2^s+2^r-2^j-t+3 \leqslant 2^s+2^r-t+1 \leqslant 2^s+t-1=n-1$ , we can apply Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4 to conclude  $p_2 \neq 0$ .

# 4 The zero-divisor cup-length of $G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let s be the unique integer such that  $2^s < n \le 2^{s+1}$ . In this section we give some bounds for  $zcl(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

In the following two propositions we consider the cases  $n \in \{2^s + 1, 2^s + 2\}$ . These results will show that the corresponding results of [9, Theorem 4.8] are not correct. Fortunately, these propositions give a better lower bounds for topological complexity.

**Proposition 4.1** Let  $n = 2^s + 1$ , where  $s \ge 3$ . Then

$$\operatorname{zcl}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^{s-2} - 7 \text{ and } \operatorname{TC}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^{s-2} - 6.$$

PROOF — It is enough to show  $A = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2}z(w_3)^{2^{s-1}-4} \neq 0$ . First, we prove that  $w_1^{2^s}w_3=0$ . By Proposition 2.3, this follows from

$$p_3 = \pi^* (w_1^{2^s} w_3) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^s} (e_1 e_2 e_3) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_1^{2^s+3} e_2^2 e_3 + e_1^3 e_2^{2^s+2} e_3 + e_1^3 e_2^2 e_2^{2^s+1}) e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} = 0.$$

Since  $w_1^{2^s}w_3=0$ , we have

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^{s-1}} z(w_2)^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2} z(w_1^{2^s}) z(w_3)^{2^{s-1}-4}$$
  
=  $z(w_1)^{2^s-1} z(w_2)^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2} (w_1^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^{s-1}-4} + w_3^{2^{s-1}-4} \otimes w_1^{2^s}).$ 

Let us observe all classes of the form  $w_3^{n-3} \otimes c$  for some  $c \in H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  after expanding the expression for A; since  $w_3^{n-3}$  is the only non-zero class in  $H^{3(n-3)}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (by Proposition (2.2), to prove that A is non-zero it is enough to show that the sum of all such x is nonzero. To do so, we determine all monomials x' and x'' in classes  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , such that  $w_1^{2^s}x' = w_3^{n-3} = w_3^{2^s-2}$  and  $w_3^{2^{s-1}-4}x'' = w_3^{2^s-2}$ . Let  $x' = w_1^a w_2^b$  be such that  $w_1^{2^{s+a}} w_2^b = w_3^{2^s-2}$ . Then  $a + 2b = 2(2^s - 3)$ . Next, we use

Proposition 2.3:

$$p_{1} = \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{s}+a}w_{2}^{b})e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1}^{2^{s}} + e_{2}^{2^{s}} + e_{3}^{2^{s}})(e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{a}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{b}e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= e_{3}^{2^{s}}(e_{1} + e_{2})^{a}(e_{1}e_{2})^{b+1}e_{1}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= e_{3}^{2^{s}}\sum_{i=0}^{a} \binom{a}{i}e_{1}^{i+b+2}e_{2}^{a-i+b+1}\cdot e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}.$$

Note that by Proposition 2.3.(3) the only non-zero monomials in this sum are the ones for ithat satisfies  $(i+b+2, a-i+b+1) \in \{(2^s-1, 2^s-2), (2^s-2, 2^s-1)\}$  and  $\binom{a}{i}$  is odd, i.e.  $i \in \{2^s - 3 - b, 2^s - 4 - b\}$  and  $\binom{a}{i}$  is odd.

If  $i = 2^s - 3 - b$ , then  $\binom{a}{i} = \binom{2(2^s - 3 - b)}{2^s - 3 - b} = \binom{2\delta}{\delta}$  (here  $2\delta = 2(2^s - 3 - b) = a$ ). By Lemma 3.2, this number is odd only if  $\delta = 0$ , i.e.  $(a, b) = (0, 2^s - 3)$ . Let us now consider the case  $i = 2^s - 4 - b$ . Then  $\binom{a}{i} = \binom{2(2^s - 3 - b)}{2^s - 4 - b} = \binom{2\delta}{\delta - 1} = \binom{2\delta}{\delta + 1}$ . Again, by Lemma 3.2, this number is odd only if  $\delta = 2^l - 1$ , and hence  $a = 2^{l+1} - 2$  and  $b = 2^s - 2^l - 2$  for some  $1 \leq l \leq s - 1$ .

Let us now go back to our expression for A. Here we only consider pairs (a, b) that satisfy  $a \leq 2^{s} - 1$  and  $b \leq 2^{s-1} + 2^{s-2} - 2$ ; hence  $b = 2^{s} - 2^{l} - 2$  only if  $l \in \{s - 2, s - 1\}$ , so we have two pairs to consider:  $(a,b) \in \{(2^{s-1}-2,2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2),(2^s-2,2^{s-1}-2)\} = P$ .

Next, let  $x'' = w_1^{a'} w_2^{b'}$  be such that  $w_1^{a'} w_2^{b'} w_3^{2^{s-1}-4} = w_3^{2^s-2}$ . We denote the set of all such pairs (a',b') with P'. Clearly, if  $(a',b') \in P'$ , then  $a'+2b'=3(2^{s-1}+2)$ , and hence  $a' + b' \geqslant 3(2^{s-2} + 1)$ ; also, by observing A, it is clear that  $a' \leqslant 2^s - 1$ .

Now, to prove that A is non-zero, it is enough to prove that B is non-zero, where B is equal to

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in P} w_1^{2^s-1-a} w_2^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2-b} w_3^{2^{s-1}-4} + \sum_{(a',b')\in P'} w_1^{2^s+2^s-1-a'} w_2^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2-b'}.$$

By Proposition 2.3.(4), this is equivalent to  $p = \pi^*(B)e_1^2e_2e_4^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1} \neq 0$ . In what follows we will be working with the additive basis

$$\widetilde{B}_{2^s+1} = \{ e_1^{a_1} e_2^{a_2} \cdots e_{2^s}^{a_{2^s}} \mid a_1 \leqslant 2^s - 1, \ a_2 \leqslant 2^s - 2, \ a_3 \leqslant 2^s, \ a_i \leqslant 2^s + 1 - i, \ i \geqslant 4 \}$$

for  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , given by Proposition 2.3.(1) and the canonical homeomorphism  $\sigma$ :  $\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$\sigma(L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, \dots, L_n) = (L_3, L_1, L_2, L_4, L_5, \dots, L_n).$$

Let  $d_{3,n-3} = e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$ . Note that

$$p_{2} = \pi^{*} \left( \sum_{(a,b) \in P} w_{1}^{2^{s}-1-a} w_{2}^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2-b} w_{3}^{2^{s-1}-4} \right) d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= \pi^{*} (w_{1}^{2^{s-1}+1} w_{3}^{2^{s-1}-4} + w_{1} w_{2}^{2^{s-2}} w_{3}^{2^{s-1}-4}) d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= ((e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s-1}} + (e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s-2}})$$

$$\cdot (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})(e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{s-1}-4} d_{3,n-3}.$$

Note that the monomials of  $p_2$  belong to  $\widetilde{B}_{2^s+1}$ ; indeed, the degree of  $e_1$  in each monomial is at most  $2^{s-1}+1+2^{s-1}-4+2=2^s-1$ , the degree of  $e_2$  is at most  $2^{s-1}+1+2^{s-1}-4+1=2^s-2$ , and the degree of  $e_3$  is at most  $2^{s-1}+1+2^{s-1}-4=2^s-3$ . In particular, each monomial of  $p_2$  is not divisible by  $e_3^{2^s}$ . Finally,  $p_2 \neq 0$  since  $e_1^{2^s-1}e_2^{2^{s-1}-3}e_3^{2^{s-1}-4}e_4^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$  has coefficient 1 in  $p_2$ .

On the other hand,

$$p_{3} = \pi^{*} \left( \sum_{(a',b')\in P'} w_{1}^{2^{s}+2^{s}-1-a'} w_{2}^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2-b'} \right) d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= \sum_{(a',b')\in P'} (e_{1}^{2^{s}} + e_{2}^{2^{s}} + e_{3}^{2^{s}})(e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}-1-a'}$$

$$\cdot (e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2-b'} d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= \sum_{(a',b')\in P'} e_{3}^{2^{s}} (e_{1} + e_{2})^{2^{s}-1-a'} (e_{1}e_{2})^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}-2-b'} d_{3,n-3}.$$

Since  $a' + b' \ge 3(2^{s-2} + 1)$ , the degree of  $e_1$  (resp.  $e_2$ ) in each monomial of this sum is at most  $2^s + 2^{s-1} + 2^{s-2} - 1 - a' - b' \le 2^s - 4$  (resp.  $2^s + 2^{s-1} + 2^{s-2} - 2 - a' - b' \le 2^s - 5$ ), and hence, after expansion, each monomial (if any) of  $p_3$  is in  $\widetilde{B}_{2^s+1}$  and divisible by  $e_3^{2^s}$  (note: it is possible that  $p_3 = 0$ ).

Hence,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  do not have any common monomials from  $\widetilde{B}_{2^s+1}$ , and so there are no cancellations between monomials of  $p_2$  and  $p_3$ . Now,  $p_2 \neq 0$  implies  $p = p_2 + p_3 \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 4.2** Let  $n = 2^s + 2$  where  $s \ge 4$ . Then

$$\operatorname{zcl}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^{s-1}$$
 and  $\operatorname{TC}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^{s-1} + 1$ .

PROOF — It is enough to prove  $A = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1} z(w_2)^{2^s+2^{s-1}} z(w_3) \neq 0$ . Note that

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^s - 1} z(w_1^{2^s}) z(w_2^{2^s}) z(w_2^{2^{s-1}}) z(w_3).$$

First, we prove that  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s}=0$ . By Proposition 2.3.(4), to do so it is enough to prove that

$$p = \pi^* (w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s}) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^s} (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^s} e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_1^{2^s} + e_2^{2^s} + e_3^{2^s}) ((e_1 e_2)^{2^s} + (e_2 e_3)^{2^s} + (e_3 e_1)^{2^s}) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

is zero in  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Since  $e_1^{2^s+2} = e_1^n = 0$  (by Proposition 2.3.(2)), we have

$$p_1 = (e_2^{2^s} + e_3^{2^s})(e_2e_3)^{2^s}e_1^2e_2e_4^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1} = 0$$

since  $e_2^{2^{s+1}} = e_3^{2^{s+1}} = 0$  (by Proposition 2.3.(2)). Now, we prove  $w_2^{2^s}w_3 = 0$ . Again, by Proposition 2.3.(4), to do so it is enough to prove that

$$p_2 = \pi^* (w_2^{2^s} w_3) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^s} e_1 e_2 e_3 e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= ((e_1 e_2)^{2^s} + (e_2 e_3)^{2^s} + (e_3 e_1)^{2^s}) e_1^3 e_2^2 e_3 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$$

is zero in  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . This follows from  $e_1^{2^s+3} = e_1^{n+1} = 0$  and  $e_2^{2^s+2} = e_2^n = 0$ . Since the dimension of  $w_2^{2^s+2^{s-1}}$  is  $2(2^s+2^{s-1}) = 3 \cdot 2^s > 3(n-3)$ , this class is zero, and hence

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^{s}-1} (w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^{s-1}} w_3 \otimes w_2^{2^s} + w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^{s-1}} w_3).$$

Let us observe all classes of the form  $w_3^{n-3} \otimes x$  for some  $x \in H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  after expanding the expression for A. Since the dimension of  $w_3^{n-3}$  is  $3(n-3) = 3 \cdot 2^s - 3$ , the only classes of this form can be  $\binom{2^{s}-1}{2^{s}-3}w_1^{2^{s}-3}w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^{s}+2}w_2^{2^{s-1}}w_3$  and  $\binom{2^{s}-1}{2^{s}-6}w_1^{2^{s+1}-6}w_2^{2^{s-1}}w_3 \otimes w_1^5w_2^{2^s}$ . By Lucas' theorem,  $\binom{2^{s}-1}{2^{s}-3}$  and  $\binom{2^{s}-1}{2^{s}-6}$  are odd, so to conclude our proof it is enough to prove that  $w_1^{2^{s}-3}w_2^{2^s} = w_3^{n-3}$ ,  $w_1^{2^{s}+2}w_2^{2^{s-1}}w_3 \neq 0$  and  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-6}w_2^{2^{s-1}}w_3 = 0$ . Since  $e_1^{2^{s}+2} = e_2^{2^{s}+2} = e_3^{2^{s}+2} = 0$ , by Proposition 2.3.(5) we have

$$p_{3} = \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{s}-3}w_{2}^{2^{s}})e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}-3}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s}}e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}-3}(e_{1}^{2^{s}+2}e_{2}^{2^{s}+1} + e_{1}^{2}e_{2}^{2^{s}+1}e_{3}^{2^{s}} + e_{1}^{2^{s}+2}e_{2}e_{3}^{2^{s}})e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}-3}e_{1}^{2}e_{2}^{2^{s}+1}e_{3}^{2^{s}}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= e_{1}^{2^{s}-1}e_{2}^{2^{s}+1}e_{3}^{2^{s}}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1},$$

and hence, by Proposition 2.3,  $w_1^{2^s-3}w_2^{2^s} = w_3^{n-3}$ .

Using similar arguments, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} p_4 &= \pi^* (w_1^{2^s+2} w_2^{2^{s-1}} w_3) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1 + e_2 + e_2)^{2^s+2} (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^{s-1}} e_1 e_2 e_3 e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1^{2^s} + e_2^{2^s} + e_3^{2^s}) (e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2) ((e_1 e_2)^{2^{s-1}} + (e_2 e_3)^{2^{s-1}} + (e_3 e_1)^{2^{s-1}}) e_1^3 e_2^2 e_3 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= e_3^{2^s+1} (e_1^2 + e_2^2) e_1^{2^{s-1}} e_2^{2^{s-1}} e_1^3 e_2^2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1^{2^{s-1}+5} e_2^{2^{s-1}+2} + e_1^{2^{s-1}+3} e_2^{2^{s-1}+4}) e_3^{2^s+1} e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2^{s-1}+5<2^s$ , by Proposition 2.3.(1) for the additive basis  $\widetilde{B}_{2^s+2}$  (defined as  $\widetilde{B}_{2^s+1}$  in Proposition 4.1),  $p_4 \neq 0$ . Hence,  $w_1^{2^s+2}w_2^{2^{s-1}}w_3 \neq 0$  (by Proposition 2.3.(4)).

Finally, by Proposition 2.3.(5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_5 &= \pi^* (w_1^{2^{s+1}-6} w_2^{2^{s-1}} w_3) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^{s+1}-6} (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^{s-1}} e_1 e_2 e_3 e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^s-6} (e_1^{2^s} + e_2^{2^s} + e_3^{2^s}) (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^{s-1}} e_1^3 e_2^2 e_3 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1 + e_2)^{2^s-6} e_3^{2^s+1} e_1^{2^{s-1}+3} e_2^{2^{s-1}+2} e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} 2^s - 6 \\ 2^{s-1} - 3 \end{pmatrix} e_1^{2^s} e_2^{2^s-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 2^s - 6 \\ 2^{s-1} - 4 \end{pmatrix} e_1^{2^s-1} e_2^{2^s} \right) e_3^{2^s+1} e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s \geqslant 4$ , by Lucas' theorem  $\binom{2^s-6}{2^{s-1}-3}$  and  $\binom{2^s-6}{2^{s-1}-4}$  are even, so  $p_5=0$ , and hence, by Proposition 2.3.(4),  $w_1^{2^{s+1}-6}w_2^{2^{s-1}}w_3=0$ , which completes our proof.

**Proposition 4.3** Let  $s \ge 2$ ,  $n = 2^s + t \le 2^{s+1}$ ,  $t \ge 3$  and  $2^{r-1} < t \le 2^r$ . Then

$$zcl(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+2} - 2^r - 1$$
 and  $TC(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant 2^{s+2} - 2^r$ .

Also, if  $t - 3 \ge 2^{s-1}$ , then  $zcl(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ge 7 \cdot 2^{s-1} - 1$  and  $TC(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ge 7 \cdot 2^{s-1}$ .

PROOF — For the first inequality it is enough to show

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1}z(w_2)^{2^{s+1}-2^{r+1}}z(w_3)^{2^r} \neq 0.$$

Note that  $w_1^{2^s}w_3^{2^r}=0$ . Indeed, this follows from Proposition 2.3.(4),  $e_i^{2^s+2^r}=0$  for  $i\in\{1,2,3\}$  and the following calculations:

$$p_{1} = \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{s}}w_{3}^{2^{r}})e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1}^{2^{s}} + e_{2}^{2^{s}} + e_{3}^{2^{s}})(e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{r}}e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1}^{2^{s}+2^{r}}e_{2}^{2^{r}}e_{3}^{2^{r}} + e_{1}^{2^{r}}e_{2}^{2^{s}+2^{r}}e_{3}^{2^{r}} + e_{1}^{2^{r}}e_{2}^{2^{s}}e_{3}^{2^{s}} + e_{1}^{2^{r}}e_{2}^{2^{s}+2^{r}})e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1} = 0.$$

Similarly, one proves that  $w_2^{2^s}w_3^{2^r}=0$ ,  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s+2^r}=0$  and  $w_1^{2^s+2^r}w_2^{2^s}=0$ .

Note that  $2^r \ge t \ge 3$  implies  $r \ge 2$ . Now, we consider the cases  $2 \le r \le s-1$  and r=s separately.

Case 1:  $2 \le r \le s - 1$ . We have

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^s - 1} z(w_1)^{2^s} z(w_2)^{2^s - 2^{r+1}} z(w_2)^{2^s} z(w_3)^{2^r}$$

$$= z(w_1)^{2^s-1} z(w_2)^{2^s-2^{r+1}} (w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^r} + w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s}).$$

Since  $2^s - 1 = 2^{s-1} + \dots + 2^{r+1} + 2^r + 2^r - 1$  and  $2^s - 2^{r+1} = 2^{s-1} + \dots + 2^{r+1}$ , in a similar way we get

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^r - 1} (w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s - 2^r} w_2^{2^s - 2^{r+1}} w_3^{2^r} + w_1^{2^s - 2^r} w_2^{2^s - 2^{r+1}} w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s}).$$

Since the dimension of  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s}$  is greater than the dimension of the class  $w_1^{2^s-2^r}w_2^{2^s-2^{r+1}}w_3^{2^r}$ , after expanding the expression for A, there is only one summand with the first coordinate in dimension  $3 \cdot 2^s + 2^r - 1$ , and this summand is  $w_1^{2^s+2^r-1}w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s-2^r}w_2^{2^s-2^{r+1}}w_3^{2^r}$ . Hence, it is enough to prove that  $w_1^{2^s+2^r-1}w_2^{2^s} \neq 0$  and  $w_1^{2^s-2^r}w_2^{2^s-2^{r+1}}w_3^{2^r} \neq 0$ .

First, we prove that  $w_1^{2^s+2^r-1}w_2^{2^s} \neq 0$ . Since  $e_i^{2^{s+1}} = 0$  for  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$  (by Proposition 2.2 (2)) by Proposition 2.2 (4) it is an another to prove that

(2.3.(2)), by Proposition (2.3.(4)) it is enough to prove that

$$p_{2} = \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{s}+2^{r}-1}w_{2}^{2^{s}})e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{r}-1}(e_{1}^{2^{s}} + e_{2}^{2^{s}} + e_{3}^{2^{s}})(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s}}e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{r}-1}(e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{s}}e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

$$= \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{r}-1}w_{3}^{2^{s}})e_{1}^{2}e_{2}e_{4}^{n-4}\cdots e_{n-1}$$

is non-zero in  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , i.e. that  $w_1^{2^r-1}w_3^{2^s}$  is non-zero in  $H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Observe the inclusion  $i: G_3(\mathbb{R}^{n-2^s}) \subset G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Note that the height of  $i^*(w_1)$  in  $H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^{n-2^s}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is  $2^r - 1$  (by (2.1)). So, let x be a class in  $H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^{n-2^s}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $i^*(w_1)^{2^r-1}x \in$  $H^{3(n-2^s-3)}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^{n-2^s});\mathbb{Z}_2)$  is non-zero (this class exists by Poincare's duality); further, let  $\widetilde{x} \in H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be such that  $i^*(\widetilde{x}) = x$ . Then, by [12, Lemma 1], the value of  $w_1^{2^r-1}\widetilde{x} \cdot w_3^{2^s}$  is the same as the value of  $i^*(w_1^{2^r-1}\widetilde{x}) = i^*(w_1)^{2^r-1}x$ , which is non-zero. Hence,  $w_1^{2^r-1}w_3^{2^s} \neq 0$ . Finally, we prove that  $w_1^{2^s-2^r}w_2^{2^s-2^{r+1}}w_3^{2^r} \neq 0$ . This will immediately follow from  $w_1^{2^s-2^r}w_2^{2^s-2^r}w_3^{2^r} = w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s} = w_3^{2^s} \neq 0$ , which we now prove. Since  $e_i^{2^s+2^r} = 0$  for  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ ,

by Proposition 2.3.(4) this follows from (here  $d_{3,n-3} = e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1}$ )

$$p_{3} = \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{s}-2^{r}}w_{2}^{2^{s}-2^{r}}w_{3}^{2^{r}})d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}-2^{r}}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s}-2^{r}}(e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{r}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s-1}}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s-1}} \cdot \cdot \cdot (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s-1}-2^{r}}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s-1}-2^{r}}(e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{r}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s-1}-2^{r}}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s-1}-2^{r}}(e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{s-1}+2^{r}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= (e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}+\dots+2^{r}+2^{r}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= (e_{1}e_{2}e_{3})^{2^{s}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= (e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3})^{2^{s}}(e_{1}e_{2} + e_{2}e_{3} + e_{3}e_{1})^{2^{s}}d_{3,n-3}$$

$$= \pi^{*}(w_{1}^{2^{s}}w_{2}^{2^{s}})d_{3,n-3}.$$

Since  $w_3^{2^s} \in B_{3,n-3}$ , we have  $w_3^{2^s} \neq 0$ , which completes our proof.

Case 2: r = s. Then  $A = z(w_1)^{2^s-1}(w_1^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^s} + w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s})$ . Since after expanding A there is only one summand with first coordinate in dimension  $2^{s+2} - 1$ , and this summand is

 $w_1^{2^s-1}w_3^{2^s}\otimes w_1^{2^s}$ , it is enough to prove  $w_1^{2^s-1}w_3^{2^s}\neq 0$  and  $w_1^{2^s}\neq 0$ . The second follows from  $w_1^{2^s}\in B_{3,n-3}$ , and the first one is proven after the calculations for  $p_2$ .

Suppose now that  $t-3 \ge 2^{s-1}$ . We will prove that

$$B = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1} z(w_2)^{2^s} z(w_3)^{2^{s-1}} \neq 0,$$

which implies  $zcl(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ge 2^{s+1} + 2^s + 2^{s-1} - 1$ .

Let us observe all summands of B with first coordinate in dimension  $9 \cdot 2^{s-1}$ . Note that

$$B = z(w_1)^{2^s - 1} z(w_1^{2^s}) z(w_2^{2^s}) z(w_3^{2^{s-1}}),$$

so the only monomial of this form is  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s}w_3^{2^{s-1}}\otimes w_1^{2^s-1}$ , and hence it is enough to prove that  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s}w_3^{2^{s-1}} \neq 0$  and  $w_1^{2^s-1} \neq 0$ . This follows from Lemma 2.5 (indeed, since  $t-3 \geqslant 2^{s-1}$ , both monomials divide  $w_1^{2^s}w_2^{2^s}w_3^{t-3} \neq 0$ ).

**Proposition 4.4** Let  $n = 2^s + t \le 2^{s+1}$ ,  $t \ge 3$  and  $2^{r-1} < t \le 2^r$ . Then

$$zcl(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq 2^{s+2} + 2^r - 3.$$

PROOF — Suppose that this is not the case, and let  $a,b,c\geqslant 0$  be such that A= $z(w_1)^a z(w_2)^b z(w_3)^c \neq 0$  and  $a+b+c \geq 2^{s+2}+2^r-2$ . Since  $z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}}=0$  (by (2.3)) and  $z(w_2)^{2^{s+1}}=w_2^{2^{s+1}}\otimes 1+1\otimes w_2^{2^{s+1}}=0$ , we have  $a,b \leq 2^{s+1}-1$  and hence  $c \geq 2^r$ . Suppose that  $c \geq 2^s+2^r$ . Since  $w_3^{2^s+2^r}=0$ , then we have

$$A = z(w_1)^a z(w_2)^b z(w_3)^{c-2^s-2^r} (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^r} + w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s}).$$

Since  $w_1^{2^s}w_3^{2^r}=0$  and  $w_2^{2^s}w_3^{2^r}=0$  (see Proposition 4.3), we have  $a,b\leqslant 2^s-1$ , which together with  $c\leqslant 2^{s+1}-1$  gives  $a+b+c\leqslant 2^{s+2}-3$ , a contradiction. So,  $c\leqslant 2^s+2^r-1$ , and hence  $a, b \ge 2^s$ . Since  $w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s} = w_3^{2^s}$  (see Proposition 4.3):

$$A = z(w_1)^{a-2^s} z(w_2)^{b-2^s} z(w_3)^{c-2^r} z(w_1^{2^s}) z(w_2^{2^s}) z(w_3^{2^r})$$

$$= z(w_1)^{a-2^s} z(w_2)^{b-2^s} z(w_3)^{c-2^r} (w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^r} + w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_1^{2^s} w_2^{2^s})$$

$$= z(w_1)^{a-2^s} z(w_2)^{b-2^s} z(w_3)^{c-2^r} (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^r} + w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s}).$$

Next, we note that  $w_1^{2^s-2^l}w_2^{2^s-2^l}w_3^{2^l}=w_3^{2^s}$  for all  $l\geqslant r$ . Since  $e_i^{2^s+2^l}=0$  for  $i\in\{1,2,3\}$ , this follows as in the calculations for  $p_3$  in Proposition 4.3.

Let  $a-2^s=(\alpha_{s-1}\alpha_{s-2}\dots\alpha_0)_2, b-2^s=(\beta_{s-1}\beta_{s-2}\dots\beta_0)_2$  and  $c-2^r=(\gamma_{s-1}\gamma_{s-2}\dots\gamma_0)_2$ be the binary representations of the numbers  $a-2^s$ ,  $b-2^s$  and  $c-2^r$  (we allow  $\alpha_{s-1}=0$ ,  $\beta_{s-1} = 0 \text{ and } \gamma_{s-1} = 0. \text{ Further, for } r \leqslant l \leqslant s, \text{ let } a'_l = \sum_{i=l}^{s-1} \alpha_i 2^i, \ a''_l = a - 2^s - a'_l, \\ b'_l = \sum_{i=l}^{s-1} \beta_i 2^i, \ b''_l = b - 2^s - b'_l, \text{ and } c'_l = \sum_{i=l}^{s-1} \gamma_i 2^i, \ c''_l = c - 2^r - c'_l \text{ (note that } a'_s = b'_s = c'_s = 0). \\ \text{We will prove that } a'_l = b'_l = 2^s - 2^l \text{ and } c'_l = 0 \text{ for every } l \geqslant r.$ 

Our proof is by reverse induction on  $l, s \ge l \ge r$ . The claim is trivial for l = s. So, suppose that it is true for l+1,  $s \ge l+1 \ge r+1$  and let us prove it for l. First we prove that  $\alpha_l + \beta_l + \gamma_l \geqslant 2$ . If this were not the case, then by the inductional hypothesis

$$a + b + c = 2^{s+1} + 2^r + a'_{l+1} + b'_{l+1} + c'_{l+1} + (\alpha_l + \beta_l + \gamma_l) \cdot 2^l + a''_l + b''_l + c''_l$$

$$\leq 2^{s+1} + 2^r + 2(2^s - 2^{l+1}) + 2^l + 3(2^l - 1)$$

$$= 2^{s+2} + 2^r - 3,$$

a contradiction. So, to finish the inductional step it is enough to prove  $\gamma_l = 0$ . Assume this is not the case. Since  $w_i^{2^l}w_3^{2^s} = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (see Proposition 4.3), by the inductional hypothesis we have

$$\begin{split} A &= z(w_1)^{a''_{l+1}} z(w_2)^{b''_{l+1}} z(w_3)^{c''_{l+1}} z(w_1)^{2^s-2^{l+1}} z(w_2)^{2^s-2^{l+1}} (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^r} + w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s}) \\ &= z(w_1)^{\alpha_l \cdot 2^l + a''_l} z(w_2)^{\beta_l \cdot 2^l + b''_l} z(w_3)^{\gamma_l \cdot 2^l + c''_l} \\ & \cdot (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s-2^{l+1}} w_2^{2^s-2^{l+1}} w_3^{2^r} + w_1^{2^s-2^{l+1}} w_2^{2^s-2^{l+1}} w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s}) \\ &= z(w_1)^{a''_l} z(w_2)^{b''_l} z(w_3)^{c''_l} \\ & \cdot (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s-2^{l+1} + \alpha_l \cdot 2^l} w_2^{2^s-2^{l+1} + \beta_l \cdot 2^l} w_3^{2^l + 2^r} + w_1^{2^s-2^{l+1} + \alpha_l \cdot 2^l} w_2^{2^s-2^{l+1} + \beta_l \cdot 2^l} w_3^{2^l + 2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s}). \end{split}$$

So, to obtain a contradiction, it is enough to prove  $w_1^{2^s-2^l}w_2^{2^s-2^{l+1}}w_3^{2^l+2^r}=0$  and  $w_1^{2^s-2^{l+1}}w_2^{2^s-2^l}w_3^{2^l+2^r}=0$ . We prove the first identity, since the proof of the second is similar. We use Proposition 2.3:

$$\begin{aligned} p_4 &= \pi^* (w_1^{2^s-2^l} w_2^{2^s-2^{l+1}} w_3^{2^l+2^r}) e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^s-2^l} (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^s-2^{l+1}} (e_1 e_2 e_3)^{2^l+2^r} e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^{s-1}-2^l} (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_3 + e_3 e_1)^{2^{s-1}-2^{l+1}} (e_1 e_2 e_3)^{2^{s-1}+2^l+2^r} e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^{2^l} (e_1 e_2 e_3)^{2^{s-1}+2^{s-2}+\dots+2^{l+1}+2^l+2^r} e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} \\ &= (e_1^{2^l} + e_2^{2^l} + e_2^{2^l}) (e_1 e_2 e_3)^{2^{s-2^l}+2^r} e_1^2 e_2 e_4^{n-4} \cdots e_{n-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, the proof by induction is completed. Finally, we have

$$A = z(w_1)^{a''_r} z(w_2)^{b''_r} z(w_3)^{c''_r} z(w_1)^{2^s - 2^r} z(w_2)^{2^s - 2^r} (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^r} + w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s})$$

$$= z(w_1)^{a''_r} z(w_2)^{b''_r} z(w_3)^{c''_r} (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_1^{2^s - 2^r} w_2^{2^s - 2^r} w_3^{2^r} + w_1^{2^s - 2^r} w_2^{2^s - 2^r} w_3^{2^r} \otimes w_3^{2^s})$$

$$= z(w_1)^{a''_l} z(w_2)^{b''_l} z(w_3)^{c''_r} (w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^s} + w_3^{2^s} \otimes w_3^{2^s}) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Remark 4.5** Note that the value of  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , where  $n \geq 2^s + 3$ , stated in [9, Theorem 4.8] (but not proven correctly), in most cases, i.e. for  $2^{r-1} + 3 \leq t \leq 2^r$ , equals the upper bound for  $\operatorname{zcl}(G_3(\mathbb{R}^n))$  proven in Proposition 4.4.

# 5 The zero-divisor cup-length of $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section we give a lower bound for  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $k \geq 4$ .

**Proposition 5.1** Let  $4 \le k < n$  and  $2^s + k \le n \le 2^{s+1}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{zcl}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant (\lceil \log_2 k \rceil + 1) \cdot 2^s - 1$$
 and  $\operatorname{TC}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geqslant (\lceil \log_2 k \rceil + 1) \cdot 2^s$ .

PROOF — Let  $2^{r-1} < k \le 2^r$ . Then  $\lceil \log_2 k \rceil = r$ , so it is enough to prove

$$A = z(w_1)^{2^{s+1}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} z(w_{2^i})^{2^s} = z(w_1)^{2^s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} z(w_{2^i}^{2^s}) \neq 0.$$

First, let us prove that  $p = \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} w_{2^i}^{2^s}$  is non-zero in  $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Let  $d_{k,n-k} = e_1^{k-1} \cdots e_{k-1} e_{k+1}^{n-k-1} \cdots e_{n-1}$ . Since  $e_i^{2^{s+1}} = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le k$  (by Proposition 2.3.(2)) and  $k' := \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} 2^i = 2^{r-1} - 1 < k$  we have

$$p_{1} = \pi^{*} \left( \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} w_{2^{i}}^{2^{s}} \right) d_{k,n-k}$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq a_{1} < a_{2} < \dots < a_{2^{i}} \leq k} e_{a_{1}}^{2^{s}} e_{a_{2}}^{2^{s}} \cdots e_{a_{2^{i}}}^{2^{s}} \right) d_{k,n-k}$$

$$= [2^{0}, 2^{1}, \dots, 2^{r-2}] \left( \sum_{1 \leq a_{1} < a_{2} < \dots < a_{k'} \leq k} e_{a_{1}}^{2^{s}} e_{a_{2}}^{2^{s}} \cdots e_{a_{k'}}^{2^{s}} \right) d_{k,n-k},$$

where  $[2^0, 2^1, \ldots, 2^{r-2}] = {2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^{r-2} \choose 2^0} {2^1 + \cdots + 2^{r-2} \choose 2^1} \cdots {2^{r-2} \choose 2^{r-2}}$  denotes the multinomial coefficient. By Lucas' theorem, this coefficient is odd. Also, for  $1 \le i \le k$  the degree of  $e_i$  in each monomial in the last expression for  $p_1$  is at most  $2^s + k - i \le n - i$ , so all monomials in this expression are distinct members of the basis  $B_n$  for  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and hence  $p_1 \ne 0$ . So, by Proposition 2.3.(4),  $p \ne 0$ .

Now, let us observe all summands after expanding A with first coordinate in dimension  $(2^{r-1}-1)\cdot 2^s$ . The dimension of p is  $(2^{r-1}-1)\cdot 2^s$ , and it is easy to see that the only term of this form is  $p\otimes w_1^{2^s-1}w_{2^{r-1}}^{2^s}$ . So, to finish the proof it is enough to prove  $w_1^{2^s-1}w_{2^{r-1}}^{2^s}\neq 0$ . In fact, we prove that  $w_1^{2^s}w_{2^{r-1}}^{2^s}\neq 0$ . Since  $e_i^{2^{s+1}}=0$  for  $1\leqslant i\leqslant k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 &= \pi^* \left( w_1^{2^s} w_{2^{r-1}}^{2^s} \right) d_{k,n-k} \\ &= \left( e_1^{2^s} + e_2^{2^s} + \dots + e_k^{2^s} \right) \left( \sum_{1 \leqslant a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2^{r-1}} \leqslant k} e_{a_1}^{2^s} e_{a_2}^{2^s} \dots e_{a_{2^{r-1}}}^{2^s} \right) d_{k,n-k} \\ &= \left( \sum_{1 \leqslant a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2^{r-1}+1} \leqslant k} e_{a_1}^{2^s} e_{a_2}^{2^s} \dots e_{a_{2^{r-1}+1}}^{2^s} \right) d_{k,n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as above,  $2^s + k \leq n$  implies that all monomials in the last expression for  $p_2$  are distinct members of the basis  $B_n$  for  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and hence  $p_2 \neq 0$ . By Proposition 2.3.(4), it follows that  $w_1^{2^s} w_{2^{r-1}}^{2^s} \neq 0$ .

#### Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Prof. Petar Pavešić for valuable comments and helpful discussions on the subject. Also, the author would like to thank the anonymous referee for carefully

reading the paper and many useful comments and suggestions that improved the paper, and Prof. Mark Grant for pointing to us the paper [2].

#### References

- [1] A. Borel, La cohomologie mod 2 de certains espaces homogenes, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **27** (1953) 165–197.
- [2] D. Cohen, A. Suciu, Boundary manifolds of projective hypersurfaces, *Adv. Math.* **206** (2006) 538–566.
- [3] A. Costa and M. Farber, Motion planning in spaces with small fundamental groups, Commun. Contemp. Math. 12 (2010) 107–119.
- [4] Ö. Eğecioğlu, The parity of the Catalan numbers via lattice paths, Fibonacci Quart. 21 (1983) 65–66.
- [5] M. Farber, Topological complexity of motion planning, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **29** (2003) 211–221.
- [6] J. González, B. Gutiérrez, D. Gutiérrez and A. Lara, Motion planning in real flag manifolds, *Homol. Homotopy Appl.* 82:2 (2016) 359–375.
- [7] J. Jaworowski, An additive basis for the cohomology of real Grassmannians, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1474**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991) 231–234.
- [8] J. Korbaš and J. Lörinc, The Z₂-cohomology cup-length of real flag manifolds, Fund. Math. 178 (2003), 143–158.
- [9] P. Pavešić, Topological complexity of real Grassmannians, P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A, to appear
- [10] P. Pavešić, Erratum to "Topological complexity of real Grassmannians", submitted.
- [11] Z. Z. Petrović, B. I. Prvulović and M. Radovanović, Multiplication in the cohomology of Grassmannians via Gröbner bases, *J. Algebra* **438** (2015) 60–84.
- [12] R.E. Stong, Cup products in Grassmannians, Topol. Appl. 13 (1982), 103–113.